"He that answereth a matter, before he heareth it, it is folly and shame unto him."

"Prove all things; hold fast that which is good."

LIGHT after DARKNESS

A Message to the Watchers,
Being a refutation of "Harvest Siftings"

OUR PASTOR

"Your brethren that hated you, that cast you out for My name's sake, said, 'Let the Lord be glorified'; but He shall appear to your joy and they shall be ashamed."
Our Present Counselor

We are living in a time when, if it were possible, "the very elect" would be deceived, but God’s Word, our safe guide, assures us that this cannot be done, even though the Adversary should use some of his ablest instruments for that purpose. Jesus' safety was found in what was written, and that constitutes our security also. We are not ignorant of the devices of our Adversary.

In New Testament days he used letters and words and spirits purporting to come from the right source and through the Lord’s channel in order to deceive the early Christians, but this was unavailing. He even caused some of his ablest ministers to write letters that were so nearly like the writings found in the Holy Scriptures that some could not tell them apart, and consequently accepted them as inspired writings. This gave rise to the Apocryphal books, which may be found in the Catholic Bibles between the Old and New Testaments.

This should cause the Lord's people to be especially on guard at this time, when we are so near the end of the way, entering into Gethsemane, previous to the binding of Satan.

One of these pseudo-writings may be found in a document recently published and mailed to the friends all over the world, entitled "Harvest Siftings," which is an imitation and counterfeit of our dear Brother Russell's Harvest Siftings, but a careful examination of the two writings bearing the same title will reveal the fact that they are entirely different. Brother Russell's Siftings was a real thing; the latter is a deception. Brother Russell's production was for the purpose of giving a plain, simple, straightforward, loving, Christian-like explanation of certain false charges which had been made by certain ones who had conspired against him. This latter document is altogether different.

It is written for the purpose of condemning Brother Russell's fellow-servants, and is the work of a Prosecuting Attorney, rather than that of a Christian. In the one instance the Adversary attempted to disrupt the work of the Society by a conspiracy of brethren who were sifted out; in this instance he has proven a little more successful through the processes of usurpation, casting out faithful brethren, and then saying, "The Lord be glorified."

This pseudo-"Siftings" is nothing but a legal document to prove what a wonderful President the Society has—a supposedly real hero who has saved the Society from being wrecked, whereas in reality it is a covered effort to overcome Brother Russell, as represented in his fellow-servants, to the extent of splitting the Church, and the usage of the Lord's money contributed by His consecrated people. Brother Rutherford is using the Lord's money in this way. He is using the Lord's people, and he is using consecrated time and talents in the same direction.

If you will carefully scrutinize his so-called "Siftings," you will readily observe that it has every earmark of the Lawyer, the Counselor, the Prosecuting Attorney. It is a lawyer's business to accept his client's case for money considerations, and to do everything in his power to prove his case. Lawyers argue on only one side of a case, and that is always their side—the selfish one. It is not a matter of strict justice (as it ought to be), but a matter of winning the case by arguments. To accomplish this purpose, such arguments only will be used that tend to establish their point. All other points will be suppressed, ignored, and omitted, and, at the same time, they will do everything they can to overcome the arguments on the other side, no matter how true they may be. Besides this, statements of witnesses are oftentimes colored to suit their case, and misrepresentations are frequently indulged in. In these, and in other ways, they either win the case, or come so near to it that their client seems satisfied, unless an appeal case can be worked up. You will find all these things used in this so-called "Siftings" to prove a point and to show what a wonderful champion the Society (Rutherford-Van Amburgh) has found in Our President.

He has set himself up as the Counselor of the Church, and this is the kind of counsel he is giving them. It might be well to notice in this connection that this word Counselor is one of the titles of the Lord Jesus, and is one of the principal works of the Advocate, and was never previously recognized as an office in the Church.

We are confident that the friends do not wish the money they have contributed for the spread of the Truth to be used to propagate falsehoods and to push the Primacy so as to split the Church. Neither do they wish the name, memory, contributions, sacrifices and prestige of our dear Brother Russell to be used in this manner. Therefore, we are inviting your careful and prayerful consideration of the facts stated in the writings herewith sent forth to the Lord's people in His name, which, we believe, will be one of the means the Lord will use at this time to shield and protect His people—His sheep—from those that would otherwise devour and destroy.

"Grace and peace be multiplied unto you through the knowledge of God and of Jesus Our Lord."—2 Peter 1:2.
"LET THERE BE LIGHT!"

"No weapon that is formed against thee shall prosper; and every tongue that shall rise against thee in judgment thou shalt condemn. This is the heritage of the servants of the Lord, and their righteousness is of me, saith the Lord."—Isaiah 53:17.

J. F. Rutherford vs. R. H. Hirsh
W. E. Van Amburgh

MIDDLE did we think when we looked upon the dead body of our great leader, Pastor Russell, less than nine months ago, that in so short a time it would become our painful duty to sound an alarm to the Lord's people everywhere, in the statement we are now about to make. Little did we then think that those who would undertake to manage the affairs of the Society after Brother Russell's death would attempt to pervert and change the time-honored customs and usages left us by our dear Pastor, or that there would be introduced such flagrant and sweeping departures from the form of government as outlined in Brother Rutherford's Will and in the Charter of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, written by his own hand.

For months past we have been hoping to avert the present issue, and now it is necessary that we relate to you the history of the unhappy circumstances which have led up to the present trouble. Even now, we would hesitate to speak of these things were it not for the fact that certain brethren, whose names we must herein mention, have sent out broad-cast lengthy statements which have distorted the facts and which are calculated to mislead the Lord's people with regard to the true situation at headquarters. Accordingly, we have received hundreds of letters requesting a true and complete explanation of the affairs and happenings here. Failure to correct the misleading statements and tell you the truth would surely mean a culpable neglect on our part to fulfill our solemn and sacred duty to protect and safeguard the interests of the Lord's flock.

THE WATCH TOWER readers have received a paper styled "Harvest Siftings." It bears the signature of the President of our Society, J. F. Rutherford. Several facts are apparent at once to the minds of all who have read this paper carefully, namely:

(1) That the author has attempted to assassinate the good reputation of some of his brethren, Directors of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, who for many years under Brother Russell occupied positions of trust in the work of the Society.

(2) That the Author of "Harvest Siftings," while knowing that St. Paul enjoins, "speak evil of no man," has seemingly lifted all restraint from his tongue and pen and throughout his paper has carried on a campaign of slander and evil speaking.

(3) That while on page one of his "Siftings," Brother Rutherford declares that God is his Judge, he seems unwilling that God shall judge his brethren, but proceeds himself to be their judge and to unmercifully condemn them.

(4) That while on the first page of "Siftings," our brother says he has no unkind feelings toward anyone, he proceeds to express, time after time, unkind sentiments toward these brethren.

(5) While in the concluding paragraphs of his paper, he exHORTS that no bitterness be allowed to come in, he has repeatedly said many things therein to arouse bitter thoughts in the minds of the Lord's people.

(6) That throughout his statement our brother has attempted to link with Brother Johnson's affairs in England the proceedings of the majority members of the Board of Directors, and that without there being any relationship whatsoever and in face of repeated protests on our part.

(7) That on the first page of "Harvest Siftings," top of second column, the author exHORTS "that you do not form any distinct opinion until you have read all this statement"; the inference being that you should immediately form a distinct opinion after reading his statement.

We have too much confidence in you, dear brethren, to think that after following the leading of the Lord under Brother Russell, many of you for years past, you could at this late date be so misled as to be able to discern between this and the spirit of the wise man of old: "He that answereth a matter before he heareth it, it is folly and shame unto him."—Proverbs 18:13. See Vol. VI, pages 293, 294.

We would not publish this article merely in defense of our name. We have nothing that is not fully devoted to the Lord and the Truth; we suffer because of our faithful effort to serve these and you, and realizing this, we are not distressed. "We know whom we have believed and are persuaded that He is able to keep that which we have committed unto Him against that day."

THE PURPOSE OF THIS PUBLICATION

The purpose of this explanation is not to retaliate, either, for, by the Lord's Grace, we trust to follow the example of Jesus, "who when He was reviled, reviled not again." Therefore, we will leave out personalities and bitter words in the presentation.

We believe that it will not be speaking evil to commit ourselves to some of the official acts of the President of the Society, for every voting shareholder has a right to information of this character. Our only purpose, dear brethren, is to set matters before you in such a manner that you may see the facts and principles involved and be prepared to recognize the Lord's leading and guidance through this fiery trial, to-the-intent that you may endure the same, without any real injury.

Herewith we set forth the salient points that you may be assisted in following the events up to the present sad crisis:

(1) That during the lifetime of Brother Russell, he exercised complete control and management of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, and all of its affairs, for the reason that he created the Society with his own money and intellect under the special guidance of the Lord's spirit, which he possessed in large measure.

(2) That as he looked forward to his death, it was not his thought that he would have a successor in this special office, but rather that the Board of seven Directors should "come to the front" and be his successor, and exercise complete management of the Society and its affairs.

(3) That the Charter of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, written by Brother Russell, stated in plain terms the form of government by which the Society was to be governed. That it was declared, was intended to apply especially after his death.

(4) That at his death, Brother Russell left a will (see "Watch Tower," December 1, 1916), in which he explains why he had control of the Society during his lifetime and the manner in which he desired the affairs to be continued after his death.

(5) That Brother Russell had not been dead more than a few days when his Will was declared to be illegal and, therefore, not binding, and that its provisions need not be observed by those who took charge, thus beginning the real murmuring against Brother Rutherford's arrangements, which has continued ever since.

(6) That Brother Rutherford, being well assured in advance that he would be elected President of the Society, drew up some by-laws before his election, which were taken to the shareholders' meeting at Pittsburgh, January 6, and placed
in the hands of a committee of three brethren, with the instruction that they suggest before the shareholders' meeting that these by-laws be adopted by the Society for the government of its affairs.

(7) That these by-laws, prepared by Brother Rutherford, expressly stated that the President should be the executive and manager of the Society and that he should have full charge of all its affairs, both in foreign lands and in America.

(8) That the passage of these by-laws, under Brother Rutherford's instructions, by the shareholders, was contrary to the Charter of the Society, and, hence, not binding, since the Charter provides that "the Directors shall have full power to make by-laws." (See charter, Sec. VII, elsewhere in this pamphlet.)

(9) That Brother Rutherford, knowing that these by-laws, recommended by the shareholders, were not legal, on returning from the election called a meeting of the Board of Directors, at which there were present Brothers Rutherford, Van Amburgh, Pierson, Ritchie and Wright. Brother Hoskins, being ill, was absent, and Brother Rockwell had just removed from Bethel. At this meeting of the Board, these by-laws, placing executive control in the hands of Brother Rutherford, were adopted thus making them legal.

(10) That the Brethren present at this Board meeting who took part in the adoption of these by-laws, not being able to forecast the future, and not surmising that our brother would misuse the power, thought best at that time to take this action.

(11) That not many weeks had passed before there were misgivings in the minds of several of the Directors as to the wisdom of the action taken, and though they expressed no immediate protest, they recognized that they had placed altogether too much confidence in Brother Rutherford in giving him such sweeping control, for they saw that he was interpreting the by-laws to mean that he alone was the controller of the Society to the exclusion of the Directors.

(12) That one of the seriously objectionable results of this power in the hands of the President was that he appointed a special representative, Brother A. H. Macmillan, who for two months previous to this time, since Brother Russell's death, had shown himself unfit to represent the Society and its affairs in such an important position, and that to this special representative was delegated autocratic powers by the President, so that in the absence of the President, the word of his special representative was declared to be final on all matters, much to the sorrow and discomfort of many of the force.

(13) That instead of representing the Society and assisting the President in preserving inviolate its charter and Brother Russell's will, Brother Macmillan did the very reverse. He apparently viewed Brother Russell's Will as a mere trifle, not worthy of consideration, and time after time he visited various parts of the country, he held up the Board of Directors to contempt and ridicule.

(14) That instead of the President exercising restraint over his special representative, he apparently sanctioned his unseemly conduct, as indicated in his statement in "Harvest Siftings," page 11, where he says Brother Macmillan "has proven faithful and loyal."

(15) That after three months or so had passed, it became clear to the majority of the Directors that they had seriously blundered in placing the complete control in the hands of one man, contrary to the charter (article VI of which reads: "The corporation shall be managed by a Board of Directors, consisting of seven members"), and that under this one-man rule the Directors were not allowed to direct, and could get little or no information regarding the affairs of the Society, for which the laws of the land held them responsible.

(16) That Brother Van Amburgh is the only Director who has fully supported the President in his methods and policies; whereas prior to Brother Russell's death, Brother Van Amburgh frequently opposed Brother Russell in the business that he brought before the Board for consideration, thus taking hours of Brother Russell's valuable time; and that since Brother Russell's death, Brother Van Amburgh has given his undivided support to Brother Rutherford, and is permitted to exercise more authority than ever before; and has repeatedly refused members of the Board the privilege of getting information from the Society's records.

(17) That prior to the time of Brother Johnson's return from England, in the early part of April, things had not been running smoothly and to the satisfaction of the Board of Directors, and that Brother Johnson's return had nothing whatever to do with the real issues.

(18) That when Brother Johnson returned to America he appealed to the Board of Directors for a hearing of the difficulties. The meetings were allowed by the President, neither of which was an official Board meeting, and in neither of these was Brother Johnson given more than slight opportunity to state his case.

(19) That when Brother Johnson requested time and again that the President call a meeting of the Board to give him a fair opportunity to state his case, the President became angered and told Brother Johnson and the Board it was none of their business, that the management was all in his hands, and that he had closed up the matter of Brother Johnson's affair and would not open it again.

(20) That when the members of the Board saw this attitude on the part of the President, which was but another extension of the same autocratic powers which he many times exercised since his election, they concluded it wise to take counsel together and earnestly prayed over the matter, the result of which was that at the next Board meeting, one of our number offered a resolution to amend the by-laws which the Board had unwisely adopted early in the year.

(21) That the president of the Directors in concluding to amend the by-laws was not that the four members of the Board might take over the control of the Society, but that the Board might be restored to its proper position, according to Brother Russell's will and charter.

(22) That when this resolution was offered to rescind the objectionable by-laws, the President was greatly angered and offered such strenuous opposition that the Board yielded to his suggestion to hold the matter over for about a month.

(23) That meantime the President took a trip West and completed the scheme by which he has attempted to declare illegal and put off the Board four of its properly constituted members, three of whom for many years past were recognized by Brother Russell as legally chosen Directors.

(24) That the President's declaration that these members of the Board have had no legal standing as Directors for years past, would mean, if true, that Brother Russell has been transacting "illegal business" through an "illegal Board" for many years.

(25) Be it known, therefore, that Brother Rutherford in his "Siftings" has belied the real issues by claiming that the Directors have espoused the cause of Brother Johnson and want to send him back to England, when we had no desire or intention of doing anything of the kind; and be it further known that Brother Johnson is in no sense the cause of our differences here at headquarters.

(26) Be it known further that we had no thought whatsoever of interrupting the affairs of the Society by tying up its funds, as Brother Rutherford charges us, but merely to make them subject to the Board's direction; and that no thought could be farther from our mind than that of wrecking the Society. God knows our hearts and our intentions. Instead, we have been for many years engaged with all our heart and strength in supporting the Lord's work and in extending the influence of our Society and the Truth, which we all love so much. Our aim from first to last in this respect has been to fulfill the duties of our office, to which three of us were appointed under Brother Russell, and to faithfully fulfill the trust reposed in us; and to estop, if possible, a gross and wholesale departure from Brother Russell's Will, his Charter, and the policies outlined by him to be followed after his death, to all of which the Directors solemnly bound themselves.

We do not cease to rejoice in the Lord and to give thanks for all the fresh evidences of our acceptance with Him which we have enjoyed during our recent trials. Our privileges are, it is true, somewhat curtailed; but be assured that we stand always ready to serve any of you.
WITH ALL of the mighty power of the Society at his back—the consecrated financial power and the moral power—the President of our Society has done his best to crush to the earth four brethren whose loyalty to the Lord, the Truth and the brethren no one ever before questioned. All of them have been in the service of the Truth for many years, and none of them was the finger of scorn ever before pointed. They worked faithfully with their beloved Pastor until his death, and took up their duties with the new President with renewed determination to support him as loyally as they had supported Brother Russell. They continued to do until they saw that the Charter, Will, and all would be so completely subverted that there might be little left if they did not at once make protest.

It is probably sufficient to say that Brother Rutherford's "Sittings" contains more than a hundred untruthful charges and misleading statements, all made, too, on Watch Tower paper, printed at considerable expense, and sent out from the Tabernacle, the home of the Truth.

BROTHER RUSSELL'S WISHES

The real issue, dear friends, is: Are we to remain faithful to Brother Russell's memory, his methods and his plans for the Society's interests throughout the wide world, and for the protection of its sacred interests, or are we to bow to ambition, pride or other surmises offered in support of these charges? Thus our earnest endeavors to do our duty and to stand in defense of our Society, and for the protection of its sacred interests, have been so misrepresented as to appear to be evil. Verily again our Adversary is striving "for darkness for light and light for darkness."—Isaiah 5:20.

We humbly believe, dear brothers and sisters in the Lord, that not one of us has any ambition, save to be faithful to the trust reposed in us by the Lord and by our beloved Pastor. We recall in this connection the words of our Lord and of the Apostle Paul, as follows:

"It is required in stewards that a man be found faithful; every man according to his several ability."—1 Cor. 4:2; Matthew 25:23.

We freely confess that none of us has any great ability; but it is our desire to use to His praise whatever little we have; and as stewards of the Society, we have sought only to be faithful.

BROTHER RUTHERFORD'S METHODS VS. BROTHER RUSSELL'S METHODS

The trouble really had its beginning before the election in Pittsburgh last January. Realizing that he would be elected President of the Society, and knowing that the Charter places the control of the Society's interests in the hands of the Board of Directors, Brother Rutherford, before he started for the election at Pittsburgh, prepared some by-laws to be placed before the shareholders' meeting. In this connection it would be well to quote a part of the Charter of the Society respecting the only body authorized to make by-laws. Section VII reads:

"The Corporation, by its Board of Directors (not the voting shareholders), a majority of whom shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business, shall have full power and authority to make and enact by-laws, rules and ordinances, which shall be deemed and taken to be the law of said corporation, and do any and everything useful for the good government and support of the affairs of said corporation."

Notwithstanding this provision in the Charter that the Board of Directors shall make the by-laws, at Brother Rutherford's instance a committee on by-laws was appointed at the Convention in Pittsburgh. To this Committee Brother Rutherford's by-laws were presented, and after deliberating upon them most of the afternoon, the Committee proceeded towards the platform to read them to the shareholders. At that time it was pointed out that the by-laws were not the control, and that the Adversary was seeking to grasp the Truth as "that wise and faithful servant" (Matthew 24:45-47). And as he wished to safeguard The Watch Tower so that there should be no opportunity for ambition, pride or headship, so it is equally true that Brother Russell never intended that anyone should succeed him in the full control of the Society's interests throughout the wide world, and doubtless for the same reason that he wished to keep down headship. This thought is evidenced in many ways, and by his printed statement to this effect:

"In the event of my death, the Board of Directors will come forward!"

Also a quotation from the Charter, Section VI:

"The Corporation is to be managed by a Board of Directors consisting of seven members."

Thus it will be seen that after Brother Russell's death the Board of Directors became his successors in the control of the Society's affairs, as the Editorial Committee of five became his successors as Editors of The Watch Tower.

ADVERSARY BUSY RAISING DUST CLOUDS

Some of the dear friends seem unable to grasp these truths. On the other hand some appear to grasp them as readily as they did "The Divine Plan." We wonder if the Adversary has been busy raising dust-clouds to obscure these important truths, and to cover them up with false accusations of ambition against the majority members of the Board of Directors. Time after time in Brother Rutherford's "Sittings" we have not been accused of seeking honor, position, etc., yet it should be evident to all that only Brother Rutherford's surmises are offered in support of these charges. Thus our earnest endeavors to do our duty and to stand in defense of our Society, and for the protection of its sacred interests, have been so misrepresented as to appear to be evil. Verily again our Adversary is striving "for darkness for light and light for darkness."—Isaiah 5:20.

We humbly believe, dear brothers and sisters in the Lord, that not one of us has any ambition, save to be faithful to the trust reposed in us by the Lord and by our beloved Pastor. We recall in this connection the words of our Lord and of the Apostle Paul, as follows:

"It is required in stewards that a man be found faithful; every man according to his several ability."—1 Cor. 4:2; Matthew 25:23.

We freely confess that none of us has any great ability; but it is our desire to use to His praise whatever little we have; and as stewards of the Society, we have sought only to be faithful.
and General Manager of the Corporation, having in charge the management of its affairs and work, both in America and in foreign countries."

Another by-law, the one to which the Committee specially objected, authorized the President to appoint an Advisory Committee of three, of which the Secretary and Treasurer, Brother Van Amburgh, was to be a permanent member. These by-laws and such a Committee would naturally be thought by some to supplant the Directors in their advisory and executive capacity.

The President knowing that the shareholders could not legally make by-laws, since the Charter gives that right to the Directors, on returning to Brooklyn after the election, called a meeting of the Board at which he presented his book for their adoption. Like the dear sheep who were in attendance at the shareholders' meeting, unsuspicous and anxious to do anything to aid the new President, the Directors decided without protest to spread them upon the minutes of their meeting. It was this action that made the by-laws genuine and legal, an act which the Board hoped at the time would prove to be for the best interests of the work.

Thus it will be seen at a glance how Brother Rutherford planned to thwart Brother Russell's expressed wishes in this respect and also the Charter, which places the control of the Society's interests in the hands of seven brethren instead of one.

PERSECUTION AND INTIMIDATION

T WAS NOT long till the Directors recognized that a serious mistake had been made in adopting by-laws that placed the entire management in the President's hands, contrary to the Charter. Although they endeavored to cooperate with him in the direction of the affairs of the Society, they now became objects of persecution and intimidation chiefly by the President's Representative, who had previously declared of some of them that "if they did not get out they would be kicked out."

We realize, dear brethren, that many of these things will appear strange to you. It seems almost impossible that such a situation could exist among those of like profession and especially in the Behel and the Tabernacle. But such is the fact, and we must all meet the condition sooner or later and deal with it as we believe the Lord would have us do.

POLICEMAN CALLED TO EJECT DIRECTORS

No course has appeared too drastic for the President and his Representative in order to secure and maintain automatic control of the Society. During Brother Rutherford's absence in July, a rumor reached us that we would not be permitted to enter the Tabernacle office. Astonished, and doubtful that such treatment would be accorded a majority of the Trustees of the Society whose duties would naturally call them to the Tabernacle, we desired information as to whether such an order had been issued and by whom. While seeking this information in the office, we were ordered outside by Brother Macmillan. Believing we had a perfect right in the office, we remained five minutes, when we retired to the Chapel upstairs, where there was no one but ourselves. Presently there approached us Brother Macmillan with a policeman.

"Officer, put these men out!" said the President's Representative.

"Move on, Gentlemen!" said the policeman to the Directors.

"You have no right to put us out, Officer," replied one of the Directors; "we are employed by this Society, and we are not disturbing anybody or anything."

"Of course I have no right to put you out," responded the policeman. "It is I who should go out instead"; and away he went.

The Board has been accused of being ambitious. Suppose it has been ambitious in the matter of carrying out the provisions of Brother Russell's Charter and Brother Russell's will, what shall be said of Brother Rutherford's efforts to take away the Directors' control and usurp that control fully to himself? It seems an easy matter to raise dust to obscure the real issue, and this is what the Adversary apparently has been busy doing. We would not stop to mention these matters if they were personal. We could easily sacrifice all our personal rights and count them but loss and dross; but in a case like this it is different. The rights of Directors are not personal. The Directors represent the rights of the shareholders of the Society, and they cannot be sold for a song and at the same time be faithful. "It is required of stewards that a man be found faithful." Besides, the civil law demands that Directors shall acquaint themselves with the interests of their corporations, and failure to do so is in the eyes of the law regarded as criminal negligence.

Being a lawyer, one would naturally expect that the President would do all in his power to have his fellow-members of the Board cooperate in the administration of the affairs of the Society over which all had been given a stewardship. This would have been the course of wisdom and what would have been expected even of one not professing Christian principles.

RESOLUTION PRODUCES CRISIS

In view of all that had transpired in the months past, the Directors decided that some action should be taken to remove such mistake in placing such a power in the hands of Brother Rutherford's hands at the beginning of the year. The first step toward rectifying the matter was to repeal the by-laws, thus restoring to the Board its authority as provided in the Charter. It was at this same time that Brother Johnson's affair came up for consideration. When he returned from England he was given two hearings, in neither of which did he have a fair chance to present his case, and later, learning that there were complications that had not been brought out and adjusted, the Directors gave assurance to Brother Johnson that they were in favor of his having a full and fair hearing. It was at this time, when the Board insisted upon giving the Brother a further opportunity to explain his matters, that Brother Rutherford censured the Directors, telling them that the management was in his hands and that it was none of their business; that he, himself, had settled Brother Johnson's affair. Thus the real issue, the management of the Society, came to the front and led to the resolution to repeal the by-laws. At a meeting of the Board of Directors in June, before the policeman incident, a resolution was presented to rescind the by-laws. This was the last meeting ever held by the Directors over which Brother Rutherford presided. When the resolution came up the President raised such a storm of opposition that the brethren yielded to his appeal to hold the resolution over until the next meeting, which was announced for July 20th. Although two or three requests were subsequently made for a meeting prior thereto, these were refused by the President until July 17.

BROTHER RUSSELL'S DIRECTORS PUT OUT—BROTHER RUTHERFORD'S PUT IN

The next few weeks were eventful. The President now realized the Directors were fully awake to their responsibilities. He was determined, however, that they should not acquire and use the power delegated to them in the Charter.

The President took a trip to Philadelphia to consult a
lawyer. Then he started on his trip West. During his absence he heard of the disturbance at the Tabernacle re the policeman and telegraphed Brother McGee of Trenton that if he were advising us, to tell us to wait until his return, when all would be adjusted.

Little did we realize how the adjustment would be made. His design was that upon the advice of his Philadelphia lawyer he would declare illegal the Board of Directors from whom Brother Russell had been doing illegal (?) business for so many years. On his homeward journey he visited Pittsburgh and appointed brethren to take our places, whom no doubt he felt certain would never attempt to rescind his by-laws, as this was his only cause for complaint against us.

At the noonday meal in the Bethel Dining Room on July 17, Brother Rutherford made the startling announcement to all gathered there that the Directors of the Society had never been legally elected, and that he had declared the offices of four of them vacant and appointed new ones in their places. All the old Directors were present and the Brethren he had appointed were also present.

**AN APPEAL TO THE VOTING SHAREHOLDERS**

I BELEIVE that Brother Rutherford has made a very grave mistake in adopting such high-handed methods and we appeal to the consecrated judgment and good sense of the voting shareholders of the Society and to all "Watch Tower" readers everywhere!

We appeal not for any special and personal consideration for ourselves. We are in this controversy merely seeking to act as your representatives. We appeal to you in the interests of our Beloved Society and for your own responsibility as shareholders to protect its welfare and to carry out the wishes and plans of the founder, our dear Pastor, and to arouse you to the fact that violence has been done to these.

This last step of Brother Rutherford was as contrary to Brother Russell's judgment as expressed in the Charter of the Society as the former's endeavor to secure entire control of the Society's affairs. According to the Charter, which we print elsewhere in this pamphlet, no member of the Board of Directors can be removed from office except "by a two-thirds vote of the shareholders" at the annual election held in Pittsburgh the first Saturday in January. And yet the President has gone so far in the direction of grasping further power and control as to forcibly remove four of its members from office and expel them from Bethel!

The question which we have been considering and which each of you must consider is: Is it safe to leave the management of the Society's affairs in the hands of one who shows such disrespect and seeming contempt for Brother Russell's sacred judgment and good sense of interests of our Beloved Society and for your

However, a clause in the law reads that this statute is not to apply to Corporations already in existence. The Watch Tower Corporation was chartered several years prior thereto, hence the statute respecting the three Directors from Pennsylvania has no application to the Directors of our Society.

Whether Brother Rutherford overlooked this clause we are not in a position to know. Although he listened to Brother McGee discuss this and other points for an hour before the Philadelphia Church, where both sides of the case were presented, Brother Rutherford, although he followed in rebuttal, never once referred to this fact, nor to any other legal point raised by Brother McGee, who is Assistant to the Attorney General of the State of New Jersey.

In this connection we might add that several lawyers have volunteered opinions upon the merits of this case. Some live in Pennsylvania, New York and New Jersey, and without a single exception all have agreed that, even aside from the moral wrong, Brother Rutherford's course is wholly unlawful.

DECLARES CHARTER MOST REMARKABLE DOCUMENT

Few of the friends of the Truth have not read in the Memorial Number of "The Watch Tower" the oration delivered on the occasion of our Pastor's funeral in The Temple in New York. The oration was delivered by Brother Rutherford, and in order to show his estimate then of the Charter of the Society, we quote from it on page 374, first column, second paragraph, as follows:

"The work [that is the work of the Harvest] grew in proportion; and, desiring that it might be conducted in a systematic manner and perpetuated after his death, he organized the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society—a corporation, the charter of which was written by his own hand, and is admitted, by men who know, to be a most remarkable document. Through this channel he has promulgated the message of Messiah's Kingdom to all the nations of the earth."

Comment on this seems unnecessary. It speaks for itself. We leave it to sink into the hearts and minds of the Lord's people everywhere and to make its own appropriate impression. Suffice it to say, however, that it must be apparent to all that there has developed a great change in Brother Rutherford's mind between the time of his election and the time he wrote "Siftings"—a period of only seven months.

Shewing further the sweeping change in his mind since last December, we quote the following from "The Watch Tower," of December 15th, 1916, page 390, written by Brother Rutherford himself, shortly after Brother Russell's death, which gives an accurate and comprehensive account of the organization and the purpose of the Society:

ORGANIZATION OF THE WORK

"It is recognized that everything must be done decently and in order; that there must be a regular organization to carry on any work."
From what we have said foregoing in these pages, we believe that all can see that the coupling of Brother Johnson’s affairs with the Board of Directors is bound to bring to the real issue and the real trouble, which existed before the return of Brother Johnson to America. Since self-exaltation began before there was any trouble about the English case, and since objections to the President’s course were made from January to March, it is manifest that Brother Johnson had nothing to do with our affair. It is absolutely untrue that Brother Johnson became in any sense a leader of the Directors. It is equally untrue that the brethren ever thought of returning him to the English Branch, even though two committees appointed by the President, one in England and one in America, reported favorably on much of his work.

At one time did the plan contemplate deposing Brother Rutherford and making Brother Johnson President, as Brother Rutherford well knew. He and those with him also well know that we did not plot against him to oust him and seize control, to exalt ourselves and humiliate him. Since we frequently assured him, we cannot understand how he could believe and publish the contrary. All we wished to do was to co-operate with him for the good of the work; and we were well pleased that he act as President and presiding officer. But we were not prepared to quietly allow him to set aside our Pastor’s Will and Charter and “lord it over God’s heritage” without a protest. That protest is the cause of all the trouble, even as St. Paul preaching the Truth at Ephesus was mobbed, and then charged with being a disturber of the peace.

VIOLANCE IN THE BETHEL

The close of a conference near noon, Friday, July 27, Brother Rutherford tried to draw the brethren into an agreement and partially succeeded. Then in a voice of wrath he demanded that if we had any ultimatum to deliver we should deliver it then. When told that we had none, he replied: “Then I have one to deliver to you,” and standing up, he delivered his decree: “My authority in this house has got to be obeyed and you will all get out of this house by Monday noon. Brother Johnson will get out today.” A few moments later there occurred in the Bethel Dining Room a scene which we are loath to report; but we believe you should know the lengths to which these matters have gone in order that you may see the kind of fruitage that now appears.

At the noonday meal, Brother Rutherford reported to the Bethel Family that we would be compelled to leave the Bethel Home by Monday noon. The brethren then considered it their duty to make some statement to the Family. Brother Rutherford wished the Family to hear only his statement; but we persisted, and one of our number said that he wished to read a letter from Brother Pierson stating that he “would stand by the old Board.” Brother Rutherford refused to let the letter be read and shouted that Brother Johnson had been to see Brother Pierson and had misrepresented the matter to him. Upon Brother Johnson’s firm denial of this, Brother Rutherford insisted to him and using physical force, which nearly pulled Brother Johnson off his feet, said in a fit of passion: “You will leave this house before night; if you do not go out, you will be put out.” Before night this threat was carried into effect. Brother Johnson’s personal effects were literally set outside the Bethel Home and brethren, as watchmen, were placed at various doors to prevent him from entering the house again.

Following is a copy of a letter from Brother Pierson:

BROTHER PIERSON TAKES STAND WITH OLD BOARD

Mr. A. L. Ritchie,
MY DEAR BROTHER RITCHIE:
I thank you for your favor of the 21st, received last Monday. Meanwhile I have been waiting on the Lord to know what to say in reply. After reading the letter, the words of the Psalmist came to my mind, repeating many times since: “The meek will He guide in judgment; and the meek will He teach His way.” Consequently I have taken time to make this reply:

On entering the meeting room at the Bethel a week ago last Tuesday morning I was very much surprised to learn that Brother Rutherford had appointed a new Board, and so expressed myself to those present. Presently we heard the reading of a letter from a Philadelphia law firm, in which were set forth the facts mentioned in the resolution read before the Bethel Family, viz., that the Board of Directors, as constituted, was not a legal one, therefore its members were not legally directors. Thereupon I expressed the thought that if these brethren were not legally members of the Board of Directors—which point was fully sustained by the facts—holding for many years in the eyes of the friends in general—that the fact remains that the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society has never had a legal Board. To this Brother Rutherford assented. I further stated that if it was true that no legal Board had ever been held for many years in the eyes of the friends in general—then the fact remains that the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society has never had a legal Board. To this Brother Rutherford assented. But further I stated that if it was true that no legal Board had ever been held for so many years in a manner not entirely in harmony with the requirements of law, it surely could be continued in the same way for a few more months, until another annual meeting. This was not a motion, but merely a criticism or suggestion, upon which no action was taken.

When the Committee which had drawn up the resolution presents it to me, I told them frankly that, while I had nothing whatever against the brethren henceforth, I did object to the appointment of a new Board. After hearing the discussion by the different brethren, including Brother McGine’s summation of the annexes of the charter, I came to the conclusion that the statements concerning the legal standing of the members of the Board did not place the situation in its true light; for if four of the seven members of the Board were not legally Directors, then the other three, who had been elected as such by their fellow shareholders, would have the same standing so far as membership in the Board of Directors is concerned. While the charter, as published in the little blue-covered booklet we received, makes no provision for the selection of the members of the Board of Directors and specifies that “the members of the Board of Directors shall hold their respective offices for life, unless removed by a two-thirds vote of the shareholders.”

I ask why I signed a resolution that was so detrimental to yourself and the other brethren. I felt that there was a measure of wrong on both sides. Some of you brethren had made statements at Philadelphia and other places which called for an explanation and an explanation had been made by some of those who asked for such an explanation. This resolution was drawn up by a Committee, whose original intention was to have it published, to which I objected. While I admire Brother Rutherford’s ability and his wisdom in settling many difficult questions.
for the Society, and while I fully believe that it is the Lord's will that our President and his management should not approve of some things he did in connection with this matter.

One of my principal weaknesses, as far as I know myself, is that it is very hard for me to say "No," especially to brethren I love so much as I do all the members of the Board, including the brethren newly appointed; in fact, all who are truly the Lord's. When signing the resolution, I had strong hopes that reconciliation might be made between the two parties who differed, and that neither publication of the resolution nor any other explanation from either side might be necessary. Before I signed, however, a number of statements to which I objected were stricken out. After being thus modified, it was further agreed that copies of this resolution should be sent only to Classes and brethren that had heard of the trouble and requested an explanation. I held out for some hours against a thing I did not believe in, but since the brethren had changed it, eliminating some objectionable paragraphs, and agreeing to send it only to inquiring friends, I finally signed, as a compromise.

When our Secretary showed me a copy of the resolution which had been sent to the Class, I could not help but think that it had been sent far and wide to all Classes; and I felt that I had not taken the proper course in signing even after it had been amended. Now that I have reason to believe a general circulation of this resolution has been made, I want to assure you that had I foreseen this I should never have signed the paper. I feel that this has done you four brethren a decided injury, because, in my opinion, none of you has any desire to do any harm to the Society or bring about a division, but that you simply differ with Brother Rutherford about the control of the Society; that it is your desire to stand by the charter and the principles of Brother Russell, which recognize the Board of Directors as having the control; that I have concluded to take a firmer stand for what I believe is the right, viz., that the appointment of the new members to take the place of the four who were not legally members according to the decision of the Philadelphia law firm was not the proper course, and will therefore stand by the old Board.

A copy of this letter goes to Brother Rutherford. With much Christian Love, as ever,

Your brother in Christ,

A. N. PIERSON,

Vice President.

P. S.—You have my permission to make such use of this letter as you may deem wise.

A few days later, after repeated threats by the President to forcibly accomplish their ejection from the Home, the four Directors, though they considered the Bethel their home, and as having the same right there as Brother Rutherford and others, decided to submit to the injustice of Brother Rutherford's orders, and have since gone forth from the Home. It was as a result of Brother Pierson's negotiation and interference that Brother Rutherford, after threatening to force copies of the resolution with him and with allowance for the expenses of the brethren leaving the Home. The sum was $300.00; but in no sense did it represent an adjustment of matters, but merely as making some provision for brethren who after long years of service, now without means, were about to be forced out into the world to start life anew. To justify this drastic and violent action toward his brethren in thrusting them from the Home that had sheltered them during the long years that they labored harmoniously with Brother Russell, Brother Rutherford and his associates say that it was done because we were disturbing the Bethel Family and the work, and, therefore, done for the good of the Cause. We derive comfort, however, from Isaiah's prophecy, quoted in the Photo Drama: "Your brethren that hated you, that cast you out for my name's sake, said, Let the Lord be glorified, but He shall appear to your joy, and they shall be ashamed."

At no time has any of us said or done anything about the Bethel Family or any of the Classes to stir up trouble.

On Wednesday morning, Aug. 22, a copy of the following letter was received by Bros. Hoskins, Hirsh, Wright and Ritchie:

"DEAR BRETHREN:

In view of the fact that you no longer have Sunday appointments with me, the Director of the Peoples Pulpit Ass.; and further, in view of the fact that your clerical cards were secured from the various railroads under the name of the Peoples Pulpit Association, we would ask that you return these cards to us in order that we might be protected from what the roads might consider as an injustice toward them. With Christian Love,

"Yours in the service of the Redeemer,

"PEOPLE'S PULPIT ASS.""

From this it is seen that they take away our opportunities of service, and then require us to turn over railroad permits, which do not belong to them. If the managers of the Peoples Pulpit Association are doing their duty they have nothing to fear from the Railroads, nor from us.

DIVISION AT BETHEL

It is proper in this connection to refer also to the support which Brother Rutherford claims from the Bethel Family. The facts are that the President's special representative and others, with the President's official sanction, has for months been secretly carrying on a campaign amongst the Bethel Family and the traveling Pilgrim brethren, spreading false reports regarding the Board members, and prejudicing the minds of the Family against them. Some of the Pilgrim brethren, as they passed through Brooklyn, stopping for a day or two, had these evil things whispered in their ears, and then were sent forth to give them to the Classes. After this campaign had been carried on amongst the Family for some time they began to circulate petitions among the workers to support the President and his management, and to condemn the Directors, the understanding being that all who refused to sign would be dismissed from the service, with the result that many signed these petitions, some because they had been prejudiced, and others because of fearing they would be thrust out of Bethel. Hence the partial list of names of the Bethel Family which appeared in "Siftings." Some who refused to sign these petitions were discharged and some others who signed the petitions have since been dismissed because they disapproved of "Siftings," with its false charges.

THE PRESIDENT'S SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE

The author of "Harvest Siftings" has something to say about Brother MacMillan's appointment by Brother Russell last August as assistant to the President. Several letters are quoted in support of the fact, and on page 22, bottom of the second column, Brother Rutherford states that "Brothers Hoskins, Hirsh, and Ritchie were displeased with Brother MacMillan's appointment by Brother Russell," and that they had been working against him from the first.

We believe it is enough to say that there has never been the slightest doubt in our minds that Brother MacMillan received such an appointment by Brother Russell last August, nor have we disputed the fact at any time, nor was there the slightest objection in our minds to this appointment by Brother Russell. To the contrary, it is a fact well known to Brother MacMillan that all three of the above-named brethren heartily co-operated with him at the time of his appointment by Brother Russell and for months afterwards. We would say, however, that it is one thing for Brother MacMillan to be Manager under Brother Russell and quite another matter for him to be Manager under Brother Rutherford.

As an example of the turn of mind on the part of Brother MacMillan, the brother approached Brother Hoskins at the time of Brother Russell's funeral in Pittsburg, November 6, and only a few feet removed from the dead body of our Pastor, Brother MacMillan said:

"Brother Hoskins, I have something to say to you that I know will hurt you very much, and I haven't any idea that you have strength of character sufficient to follow my advice; but I am going to tell you, anyway. I think every one of you Directors except Brothers Rutherford and Van Amburgh ought to resign and give a place to more men who know something to be put in your places. There is not one of you that fit to manage anything, and you ought to resign; and if you don't resign you will, every one of you, get kicked out!"

Brother MacMillan has since rendered efficient service to Brother Rutherford in fulfilling his own prophecy—"kicking out" the four members of the Board. And those were the thoughts that were being entertained by him as we stood beside the bier of our great leader, while others bowed their heads in sorrow, considering it a time for deep searching of the heart and drawing near to God.

Preferring not to go into personalities or the de-
tails of the conduct of Brother MacMillan we believe that it will be sufficient to say that, soon after Brother Russell's death, under loose rein, Brother MacMillan demonstrated his utter unfitness for the position originally assigned him by Brother Russell. In the course of a few months, it became evident to the Directors, that it was their duty to make some changes with regard to Brother MacMillan's position, even as Brother Russell had often made changes in the position of the brethren when he discovered that they did not properly fill the places he had given them.

That there was any malice or prejudice or jealousy on the part of the members present there was not a sufficient number who would have been disposed to do so. Amongst the proxies held by Brother MacMillan of the brethren, was the best advice of the Directors, that had been sent in the first of the year for the purpose of voting these two brethren out of the office. The charges were read against the two brethren, to the effect that they had withdrawn their moral support and were in opposition to the work of the Association. To support these charges, several trumped-up accusations were read which the two accused brethren easily and clearly refuted. They denied that they had withdrawn their moral support or that they were working in opposition to the Peoples Pulpit Association, and showed to the contrary, that their whole purpose was to sustain and uphold the work in both the Peoples Pulpit Association and the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, as Brother Rutherford intended it to be carried on. At the conclusion of the hearing and the answering of the charges, the result was that the accusers found that of the members present there was not a sufficient number who would believe the false charges and accusations so as to favor the expulsion. Then what did they do? With cruel audacity, they sent the proxies, that certain portions of the Charter were to hang people and thus accomplished their expulsion from the organization. In the course of a few months it became evident that there was any malice or prejudice or jealousy on the part of the members present there was not a sufficient number who would have been disposed to do so. Amongst the proxies held by Brother MacMillan of the brethren was the best advice of the Directors, that had been sent in the first of the year for the purpose of voting these two brethren out of the office. The charges were read against the two brethren, to the effect that they had withdrawn their moral support and were in opposition to the work of the Association. To support these charges, several trumped-up accusations were read which the two accused brethren easily and clearly refuted. They denied that they had withdrawn their moral support or that they were working in opposition to the Peoples Pulpit Association, and showed to the contrary, that their whole purpose was to sustain and uphold the work in both the Peoples Pulpit Association and the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, as Brother Rutherford intended it to be carried on. At the conclusion of the hearing and the answering of the charges, the result was that the accusers found that of the members present there was not a sufficient number who would believe the false charges and accusations so as to favor the expulsion.

Then what did they do? With cruel audacity, that seems little short of Satanic, and of which we could scarcely believe an ordinary human mind could be capable of, these three accusations by Brother Rutherford, gathered together a lot of proxies of the members of the Peoples Pulpit Association, that had been sent in the first of the year for the purpose of voting for officers of the Association at that time. The following is a sample of the proxies:

"Proxy

To-----------------------------, a member of the Peoples Pulpit Association:

You are hereby authorized to act as my proxy and cast my vote at the annual meeting of the Peoples Pulpit Association to be held at the office of the Corporation, at 124 Columbia Heights, Brooklyn, N. Y., on the 10th day of January, 1917. (Signed)"

These proxies, which were intended only for the election of the officers in January, were from brethren who were absent and heard nothing of the charges brought against Brothers Hoskins and Hirsh on July 31. These proxies were taken and used for the purpose of voting these two brethren out of office, and thus accomplished their expulsion from the Directors. A lot of them were not used or cast against the brethren when the vote had been taken merely of those present who heard the charges and who only were capable of judging, the charges and the attempt at expulsion would have fallen to the ground. And though seven of those present earnestly protested against such highteaden methods, no heed was given to their protests. We are advised by good authority that such acts and conduct are subject to criminal indictment and that if carried to the courts would meet with swift and severe punishment.

HIGH-HANDEDNESS GOING FROM BAD TO WORSE

STRANGE indeed that when the mind becomes once bent in a wrong direction, it colors everything to its own liking and can find excuses to justify almost anything; and so the motto of such is "the end justifies the means."

"We come now to some proceedings on the part of Brother Rutherford, assisted by Brothers MacMillan and Van Amburg—proceedings of which we could not believe these brethren capable, for we could scarcely think them so blind to the principles of justice and righteousness did we not ourselves witness what occurred.

In the late part of July Brother Rutherford announced a meeting of the members of the Peoples Pulpit Association to be held July 31, which he declared was for the purpose of expelling from membership on the Board of Directors and from membership in the Association Brothers Hirsh and Hoskins. The hour arrived and the meeting was called to order with fourteen members present out of a total of some forty members. The charges were read against the two brethren, to the effect that they had withdrawn their moral support and were in opposition to the work of the Association. To support these charges, several trumped-up accusations were read which the two accused brethren easily and clearly refuted. They denied that they had withdrawn their moral support or that they were working in opposition to the Peoples Pulpit Association, and showed to the contrary, that their whole purpose was to sustain and uphold the work in both the Peoples Pulpit Association and the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, as Brother Rutherford intended it to be carried on. At the conclusion of the hearing and the answering of the charges, the result was that the accusers found that of the members present there was not a sufficient number who would believe their false charges and accusations so as to favor the expulsion. Then what did they do? With cruel audacity, that seems little short of Satanic, and of which we could scarcely believe an ordinary human mind could be capable of, these three accusations by Brother Rutherford, gathered together a lot of proxies of the members of the Peoples Pulpit Association, that had been sent in the first of the year for the purpose of voting for officers of the Association at that time. The following is a sample of the proxies:

"Proxy

To-----------------------------, a member of the Peoples Pulpit Association:

You are hereby authorized to act as my proxy and cast my vote at the annual meeting of the Peoples Pulpit Association to be held at the office of the Corporation, at 124 Columbia Heights, Brooklyn, N. Y., on the 10th day of January, 1917. (Signed)"

These proxies, which were intended only for the election of the officers in January, were from brethren who were absent and heard nothing of the charges brought against Brothers Hoskins and Hirsh on July 31. These proxies were taken and used for the purpose of voting these two brethren out of office, and thus accomplished their expulsion from the Directors. A lot of them were not used or cast against the brethren when the vote had been taken merely of those present who heard the charges and who only were capable of judging, the charges and the attempt at expulsion would have fallen to the ground. And though seven of those present earnestly protested against such highteaden methods, no heed was given to their protests. We are advised by good authority that such acts and conduct are subject to criminal indictment and that if carried to the courts would meet with swift and severe punishment.

Amongst the proxies held by Brother MacMillan of the brethren, was the best advice of the Directors, that had been sent in the first of the year for the purpose of voting these two brethren out of the office. The charges were read against the two brethren, to the effect that they had withdrawn their moral support and were in opposition to the work of the Association. To support these charges, several trumped-up accusations were read which the two accused brethren easily and clearly refuted. They denied that they had withdrawn their moral support or that they were working in opposition to the Peoples Pulpit Association, and showed to the contrary, that their whole purpose was to sustain and uphold the work in both the Peoples Pulpit Association and the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, as Brother Rutherford intended it to be carried on. At the conclusion of the hearing and the answering of the charges, the result was that the accusers found that of the members present there was not a sufficient number who would believe their false charges and accusations so as to favor the expulsion. Then what did they do? With cruel audacity, that seems little short of Satanic, and of which we could scarcely believe an ordinary human mind could be capable of, these three accusations by Brother Rutherford, gathered together a lot of proxies of the members of the Peoples Pulpit Association, that had been sent in the first of the year for the purpose of voting for officers of the Association at that time. The following is a sample of the proxies:

"Proxy

To-----------------------------, a member of the Peoples Pulpit Association:

You are hereby authorized to act as my proxy and cast my vote at the annual meeting of the Peoples Pulpit Association to be held at the office of the Corporation, at 124 Columbia Heights, Brooklyn, N. Y., on the 10th day of January, 1917. (Signed)"

These proxies, which were intended only for the election of the officers in January, were from brethren who were absent and heard nothing of the charges brought against Brothers Hoskins and Hirsh on July 31. These proxies were taken and used for the purpose of voting these two brethren out of office, and thus accomplished their expulsion from the Directors. A lot of them were not used or cast against the brethren when the vote had been taken merely of those present who heard the charges and who only were capable of judging, the charges and the attempt at expulsion would have fallen to the ground. And though seven of those present earnestly protested against such highteaden methods, no heed was given to their protests. We are advised by good authority that such acts and conduct are subject to criminal indictment and that if carried to the courts would meet with swift and severe punishment.

"Dear Brother MacMillan,

"Failure of the copy of 'Harvest Siftings' you sent me to arrive has delayed my reply to your letter asking my approval of your action in using my proxy for the removing of Brothers Hirsh and Hoskins from the Board of Directors of the Peoples Pulpit Association. I have just finished the reading of a borrowed copy of the 'Siftings.'

"Without evidence additional to that contained in 'Harvest Siftings,' I would not have cast my vote against the Brothers mentioned. My one reading leaves me no definite charges against them, but merely surmisings. If surmisings were to hang people you and Brother Rutherford would have been hung up long ago by my side.

"As I recall it, my proxy was given for the yearly election of officers and not for the making of any changes in the Board. In that case you were wrong in using it as you did and the Brothers should have a fair vote on the matter. For that reason I am sending your letter and a carbon of mine to them for their information.

"Please do not understand that I have lost confidence in the judgment of yourself or Brother Rutherford. I merely never had absolute confidence in the judgment of anyone. We are all finding it easier to be wrong than to be right. Some are wrong this time and it is two out of four in whom I have had about equal confidence in the past. I trust that you are all trying to be right and I hope that some day we will all succeed.

"I suppose no further use will be made of my proxy. It is not my wish that there be any further voting done with it.

"Be assured of my continued love for you, Brother Mac, and that you have a daily interest in my petitions.

"Your brother by His grace, Paul E. Thomson."

The Brooklyn "Eagle" recently published a statement describing the disturbed condition of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society and the Bethel Home, and Brother MacMillan in his letter to Brother Thomson charges that the "opposition" (referring to the Board of Directors) had given the information to the "Eagle." We would say that none of the members of the Board had anything to do with getting the statement in the "Eagle," nor do any of these brethren have any knowledge whatsoever of how the information reached the "Eagle," except it might have been through the calling in of the policeman at the Tabernacle by Brother MacMillan himself.

NO LAW SUIT

We are charged in "Harvest Siftings" with great wrong because we consulted an attorney with regard to some legal matters; but it was not until the President himself had repeatedly told us that certain portions of the Charter were illegal that we considered it our duty to consult an attorney, who is a brother, well established in the Truth. And his advice, which proved to be sound, revealed to us that Brother Rutherford's legal opinion was very unsound. Then the
President made a trip to Philadelphia to consult a lawyer there with the purpose of securing a legal opinion which would justify his declaring the Board illegal. Was it wrong for us to get legal advice when we saw one after another of the wise safeguards devised by our Pastor being swept away? It was not our desire to go into court proceedings. But it. And yet, all corporations are creatures of the law and necessarily subject to it. The law requires that Directors shall direct. They must know what their corporation is doing, and if they allow a President or other official to exceed his powers to the detriment of the corporation, they do so at their own peril, especially if they are driven in the direction of the law and do not take steps to protect their trust. Therefore, many brethren have advised that as the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society is a business corporation, it is nothing short of our duty as Directors to protect its interests.

**RE PEOPLES PULPIT ASSOCIATION**

ELIZING the weakness of his position and his inability to legally maintain full control of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, because the Charter states that the corporation shall be managed by the Board of Directors, Brother Rutherford finally comes forward in "Harvest Siftings" with a new argument, which it would seem is but another effort to conceal the real issue.

On page 16 he brings forward the Peoples Pulpit Association, saying that as President of that Association he has full control of all the affairs of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society in the State of New York, with the result that he would nullify and make void the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society and establish as the dominant factor in the work the Peoples Pulpit Association. As a matter of fact the very reverse is the case—that the Society is the controlling Corporation. We can do no better than quote Brother Russell's explanation in "The Watch Tower" of December 1, 1915, page 359, years after the Peoples Pulpit charter was copied from the charter of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society—with the exception of a few words. The explanation mentioned is as follows:

> "The whole management is by the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society and the auxiliary organizations merely help in carrying on its work. We sometimes use one name and sometimes another, just as anyone would have the right to use any names appropriate to his work. It is equally appropriate to say that we are the International Bible Students Association. We are Bible students, and are helping Bible students in all parts of the world by the printed page, by financial assistance and in other ways. It is also appropriate to use the name Peoples Pulpit Association in connection with persons who are engaged in preaching and are acting under guidance of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society."

**THE WILL DISCREDITED AND DECLARED ILLEGAL**

CARECPLY had Brother Russell's body grown cold in death until his Will was declared to be not in "legal form," and therefore not binding, or obligatory. We understand that when he wrote it in 1907, he well knew this; and it is our thought that he designedly left it so to reveal whether those who would follow him in authority would have sufficient respect for him and his expressed wishes, to faithfully follow them, even if the civil law did not compel them to do so. The events of the last eight months have evidenced the great wisdom he showed.

Paragraphs 15 and 16 are a very important part of the will; and reveal in part how our Pastor arranged that his wishes in regard to the management of the Society after his decease would be safeguarded, by arranging that his voting shares be used by five sisters to endeavor to elect only such men as President, Vice-President and Secretary-Treasurer as they had good reason to think would closely follow the letter and spirit of the Charter and the Will. Such an arrangement would surely commend itself to every right intendment person. You will be surprised to learn that our President almost at once took exception to the arrangement, and hinted that the whole Will and arrangement was "illegal." He procured a long legal opinion from a local firm of attorneys, which was used to prevent the sisters from voting the shares at the election in Pittsburgh on January 6. It was claimed that these shares merely constituted the Pastor's Church membership; and that it could not be "legally" bequeathed. The fact that the 45,000 shares had been donated to the Society ten years
previously, on certain terms (which they were disregarding) was overlooked, and these Sisters have been the trustees of these voting shares ten years prior to his death, and his death, therefore, would not make void their right to vote those shares. Thus was another safeguard made by "that wise and faithful servant" against the possible seizing of the Society's income and trust funds by ambitious men destroyed. We can see no harm that could come to anyone by allowing the shares to be voted according to the Will; and we see much danger from their cancellation. When any arrangement of the Pastor's does not suit the new President, he usually finds a way to declare it "illegal"; but if it will be to his advantage, he uses it and refers to him "that wise and faithful servant." If the sisters' committee, authorized by the Will, has no legal existence, is it not true that the Editorial Committee, which has no other authority for its existence, is equally illegal? THE PRESIDENT'S MANAGEMENT The President has expressed overconfidence in stating that nothing has been found wrong with his conduct of the affairs of the Society. Unfortunately, several important matters seem to have been poorly handled during his administration; namely, the Photo Drama, the sale of which was announced by the President at the Pittsburgh Convention as evidently the Lord's Will, but which was afterward forced back upon the Society. It is well known that the Angelophone has until recently been poorly handled, and has caused the writing of hundreds of letters of complaint by the friends. This could have been avoided very largely by keeping on with Brother Russell's plan respecting this enterprise, his instructions being to have new records made at once in case his voice was not satisfactory. Many efforts were made to have the President follow these instructions, but he could not be persuaded until a single record came from him, and paid $1,500.00, and more the lectures rerecorded. Brother Cooke is now handling the Angelophone successfully notwithstanding Brother Rutherford's advice to him to sell it to the highest bidder and get rid of it. The pastoral work has also suffered at Brother Rutherford's hands, not intentionally, of course. Changes in this department have caused misunderstandings and delays and much inconvenience.

Further, the President's inability to work with Directors as fair-minded as those whom he has put out of Bethel is also a serious indictment against his administration of eight short months. It is conspicuously marked, too, with a long list of brethren whom he has alienated from active co-operation in the work of the Society. The measure of progress of the work during the past eight months has been due almost altogether to the working force which Brother Russell left behind—a force which, unlike Brother Rutherford, was thoroughly trained to look after their respective parts, and did so, even in the face of the President's mistakes.

REGARDING THE ANCELOPHONE "Harvest Siftings" refers to the fact that Brother Ritchie had requested the Board of Directors to allow him to take over and manage the Angelophone, when there was some $18,000 to the Angelophone's account, in Bank, and gives the inference that Brother Rutherford came to the rescue and prevented the Board from voting away $18,000.00 to Brother Ritchie. The truth is that Brothers Rutherford, Van Amburgh and MacMillan despised Brother Russell's last work, the Angelophone, and hampered and ridiculed it, always seeking to kill it. The morning after Brother Russell's death, Brother MacMillan ordered Brother Cooke to cancel all the contracts and close it down. Seeing the continued opposition to the Angelophone, and knowing from Brother Russell's death that they wished to get rid of him, Brother Ritchie went to Brother Rutherford and offered to take over the business as it was, with $18,000.00 in the bank, and to endeavor, with Brother Cooke, to make it a success. His reply was: "I love you too much to let you try it. If you were a man of the world I would do it in a minute."

He did not explain that the business was in debt $25,000.00, and more than $7,000.00 would soon be due. Brother Ritchie's acquaintances will not believe that he wished to take advantage of the Society.

COMPOSED HIS OWN BIOGRAPHY IT SEEMS too bad that at considerable expense we brethren should be called upon to get out a reply to Brother Rutherford's "Siftings." Many times we have felt like doing nothing in the matter, but depending wholly upon the good sense and training of the Lord's people not to judge, lest they be judged. But the Lord evidently means that we should now do something in the way of making known to the friends conditions as they have really existed at the Brooklyn Tabernacle and Bethel since Brother Rutherford's election, although these conditions were known to but a few until several weeks ago—the few preferring to keep silent and bear the burden, not even telling their wives, in the hope that the President would come to his senses and rectify the wrongs. At such a time as this there are found those who, for one reason or another, will go to undue lengths to support those who wield the power. We have in mind just now Brother Hudgings, who, however, has overreached himself in this instance and makes a bad matter worse. Whatever possessed him, under oath, to testify that Brother Hirsh "composed the article" on the last two pages of the Memorial Number of "The Watch Tower"—a biography of Brother Rutherford—only the Brother himself is competent to say. At any rate he went a long distance out of his way to show to the President that he is with him heart and soul. If Brother Hirsh were the author of the biography he would not be ashamed of it. There would have been no wrong committed in his composing it. In fact, it would have been much more appropriate for him to compose it than for some other person—for instance the President. We had thought he would never mention this matter to anyone; but since the dear brother swears that Brother Hirsh "composed the article," and Brother Rutherford for some reason has seen fit to publish the sworn statement in his "Siftings," we can see no good reason why our lips should be longer sealed.

This biography of Brother Rutherford first appeared in some of the newspapers of the country the day after his election. In order to have it in the hands of distant newspapers for publication the day following Brother Rutherford's election, it was necessary that it be prepared a week or more in advance. This was done at Brother Hirsh's suggestion, but it was not composed by Brother Hirsh, who saw it for the first time when Brother Rutherford himself handed it to him. With the exception of some necessary reductions in size the article is practically word for word as it was originally.

Brother Wisdom, too, has gone far out of his way to please the President. His letter published in "Siftings" seems characteristic. We well remember last summer at Niagara Falls convention, when the thermometer was a hundred or more in the Convention Hall, and everybody was ready to melt, this same brother, for an hour or more, greatly to the distress of his hearers and the chairman, rosted the late Brother Abbott—and this was long after some matter had been published in his paper and adjustment had been made. It is said that Brother Wisdom while traveling at the Society's
expense, kept up this form of persecution for some time.

We were not surprised to learn that this same brother has turned both his tongue and his pen against us. Our conversation with him was so satisfactory to himself that the time as to cause him to say three times, "I cannot say that you are wrong." Instead of Brother Hirsh seeking the conversation on the train, the brother himself said, "When you get located in your sleeper ahead, come back to me." It is observed in this Brother's letter that he was talking not only with his brother but with others at Brooklyn and has things so jumbled as to make it practically impossible to treat his letter seriously. He has added rumor to rumor. There is a proverb to the effect that a lie will travel around the world while truth is getting her boots on. And how true this is of Brother Rutherford's "Siftings"! He has sent it to all parts of the earth.

SLIPS OF BROTHER RUTHERFORD'S PEN

On page 12, of "Harvest Siftings," second column, Brother Hoskins is quoted as saying "We, the Board, are the managers and we will give the orders." Brother Hoskins made no such statement, nor was there even the suggestion in his mind of expressing any such spirit. When Brother Rutherford stated before the Board members that the entire management was in his hands and that it was none of the Board's business, Brother Hoskins merely read Article VI of the charter, "The Corporation is to be managed by a Board of Directors consisting of seven members."

Again, on the same page, Brother Rutherford quotes Brother Hoskins as saying, "We have been consulting lawyers and we know what we can do." Again the quotation is misleading. Instead of the above, Brother Hoskins in the presence of the Board members quietly said, "Since you told us last week at the meeting that the shareholders made the by-laws at Pittsburgh which gave you your power, we thought you might be mistaken, and in the meantime I have consulted an attorney who has informed me that you were in error on the point in question.

Again on page 12, "Siftings" charges us with saying, "The Board of Directors are not answerable to the shareholders." No such statement was made by any of us. What we did say was, that since the charter of the Society gives the power to the Directors to make by-laws, therefore those by-laws which originated with Brother Rutherford, were not legal and binding merely because they were at his suggestion formally passed by the shareholders.

Again, on page 17, first column, one of the members of the Board of Directors is quoted as saying: "There will be no meeting of the Board of Directors today; you understand that." Again the truth is lacking instead of the reverse, the following is the truth: The brother referred to approached Brother Rutherford and asked him about a matter and Brother Rutherford replied: "That matter will be settled at the Board meeting this morning." And to this the brother quietly answered, "I believe, Brother Rutherford, there will be no meeting of the Board this morning."

Another slip of our brother's pen is found on page 17, bottom of first column of "Harvest Siftings." It is claimed that Brother Hirsh said to Brother Rutherford: "If you will put me back on the Board, I will go to Philadelphia tonight and make it more than right with them and satisfy everybody." Later Hirsh denies this absolutely, as he had not the slightest thought of offering Brother Rutherford a bribe for anything. Besides, he denies Brother Rutherford's legal right or power to put him off the Board. So, of course, he did not ask to be put back.

Still another is found on page 23, first column, first paragraph, from Brother Wright, where "Harvest Siftings" says that he "has said several times since the trouble began that he had been dragged into this affair and induced to believe that if he did not stand by the other three he would be unfair; that he wished he was out of it." Brother Wright has three times in the presence of his accusers denied making any such statement or anything to that effect. Brother Wright has not dragged into anything for he has from the first been heart and soul with the other members in defense of the principles of our Society.

On page 17, first column, and page 23, second column, of his "Siftings," Brother Rutherford states that one of the brethren cancelled his appointment at Bridgeton, N. J., in order to meet one of the other brethren in Philadelphia. One of these brethren did have an appointment at Bridgeton on Sunday morning, which he failed to fulfill on account of missing train connections, but he had no appointment whatever Sunday evening; when in passing through Philadelphia he met some friends who insisted that he remain there for the evening service.

SOME OF PRESIDENT'S FAVORITE NAMES

Since our dear Brother Sturgeon's name was unnecessarily and improperly brought into this matter by means of this so-called "Harvest Siftings," we believe that the friends everywhere, who have a special love for him on account of his faithful devotion to our dear Pastor during the time of his greatest sufferings and need, will be pleased to know that our dear brother is endeavoring, by the Lord's grace, to be just as faithful to Brother Russell now that he has gone, as he was previous to his departure. He believes that this present controversy is one that primarily concerns the Board of Directors and the President of the Society, and is willing therefore, for the Lord to make His decision known to His own way and time, until which time he is quietly waiting on the Lord, "doing with his might what his hands find to do," since he has been carefully kept off of all Boards and Committees since Brother Russell's death, saving that of the Executive Committee. We are putting this in because we believe the friends will appreciate our so doing, since we are all concerned to know the Truth, and nothing but the Truth. It will now scarcely surprise our readers to learn that even Brother Sturgeon has come under the wrath of our President, having been called such names as Judas and traitor.

IN RE THE PARABLE OF THE "PENNY"

The author of "Harvest Siftings," together with some of his sympathizers, are now freely applying the Parable of the Penny to the present circumstances and saying that the "Penny" is the Seventh Volume and that the "murmurers" are those Trustees whom Brother Rutherford has expelled from Bethel. But let us see how this application fits. In the first place none of the Directors who are falsely accused of being the "murmurers" knew anything about the issuing of the seventh volume in advance of the time it was given out. Further, the matter of the seventh volume was entirely outside of the issues under discussion on that occasion. None of the brethren accused of being "murmurers" said anything about the volume. And be it known further that none of the brethren so charged did any murmuring whatsoever upon that occasion. None of their statements were complaints in defense of themselves, but simply protests in the name of the Lord against the false charges and high-handedness of the President's methods, against his gross violation of the Charter of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society and Brother Russell's Will. It was the solemn duty of these Trustees to make this protest on that occasion. We repeat: Not once did we refer, either in thought or word, to the Volume.

If this be indeed "murmuring" then it is proper to say that our dear Pastor during his entire life time was a "murmurer," for he never ceased to protest against the false doctrines and practices of Christendom and all forms of unrighteousness. And so were the Reformers of old "murmurers" because they lifted up their voices in protest against the sin and violence of the Papal system. And in the same sense our Lord was the greatest of all "murmurers," for he also ceased not to protest against the hypocrisy and deception of the Scribes and Pharisees.

Consequently, the brethren who are accused of "murmuring" on the afternoon of July 17th are happy to be classed along with Brother Russell, with the Reformers, and with our Lord Jesus, none of whom were really "murmurers," but were led of the Spirit of God to make bold protest against the sin and evil of their time.
OUR PASTOR’S DYING MESSAGE

"Setting the House in Order"

E HAVE followed Brother Rutherford’s advice in “Harvest Sittings,” and have read with profit the article of our Pastor published in the November 1, 1916, WATCH TOWER, entitled “The Hour of Temptation.” In fact, so impressed have we been by it, and so convinced that it has a special application at this time, that we have copied a portion of it, adding the word Society after the word Classes where the latter appears:

"The selection of improper leaders is evidently a sin, and quite a reflection against the Classes who have the improper leaders. How could such get into positions to represent the Lord’s people, except by the latter’s votes? When will the Lord’s people learn that ability to talk in public is only one of the qualifications of an Elder? Time and again we have noted how the Lord’s Cause has been hindered, and spirituality amongst the brethren has been stifled, by attempts to imitate the nominal church in putting forward persons glib of tongue, lacking in spirituality.

"In such a case, is it not pride on the part of the Class (Society)—a desire to make a fair show in the flesh before the world? If not, why do they elect such persons? If they have not at once rectify it in a quiet and positive manner? When Elders seek to bring the Class (Society) under their power and control and succeed, does it not show that the Class (Society) lacks the very quality that the Lord tells us He desires to see—courage, overcoming? And does the Class (Society) not injure such a would-be ruler, as well as itself, by permitting him to succeed in his unscriptural methods?

"Deceiving and Being Deceived"

"We have already alluded to the ambitious and selfish spirit in the world leading on to anarchy; and we have just pointed out how the same selfish, ambitious spirit is leading on to anarchy in the Church. We foresee a Time of Trouble for the world upon this score, and a Time of Trouble also for the Church. The world cannot purge itself of this class; for the leaders and the led have the worldly spirit, which is sure to wax worse and worse. But not so in the Church of Christ. Ours is the spirit of the Master, the spirit of loyalty to Truth, the spirit of the Golden Rule, the spirit of brotherly love, the spirit of liberty and helpfulness, the spirit of fidelity to what we believe to be the Truth. It is inexcusable for the Church, possessed of this spirit, to continue under the domination of ambitious men (and sometimes ambitious women). If they have not been conducting their Class (Society) affairs along proper lines, should they not begin at once? We believe that this is the time in which to set the House of the Lord in order.

"But some one will say, We would have a great disturbance if we attempted to do anything contrary to the wishes of those who have fastened themselves upon us as our leaders and rulers. To make a move at all, would endanger a division of the Class (Society), and how could we think of anything which would result in that catastrophe?"

"But, we inquire, which would be the better, to have a smaller Class (Society) operating along the lines which the Lord has indicated, or a larger Class (Society) upholding principles contrary to the Lord’s provision, injuring themselves, hindering their influence, and encouraging as a leader one who is either a ‘wolf’ or else a ‘sheep’ which has been mistakenly misled into the wolf spirit? We encourage all the dear brethren who are in such trouble to be very heroic; to see that they do nothing from strife or vain-glory, but everything in the spirit of meekness and love, that they may get back again to the liberty wherewith Christ made free, and be not again entangled in any human bondage."

"WHO SHALL BE ABLE TO STAND"

EAR BRETHREN and Sisters in Christ, we seem to be at the parting of the ways—the strait and narrow way, and the way of the unfaithful. "Who shall be able to stand?"

"Shall you? Shall I?"

We trust we may have learned the principles of truth and righteousness so well that we can stand for these, even if we cannot stand so well for the actions of our supposedly best earthly friends. Would we rather stand by the Lord, the truth, and those brethren who stand for principle, than to go with the majority, for the majority’s sake? If so, it is well.

Our difficulties have brought us many letters. Some write us deploring the unpleasant situation; others grasp the situation accurately and are awaiting our further statement. Many have assured us they are praying for us and for all concerned. Would that we might be able to do something that would right things, and restore peace to all. We trust, moreover, that our present effort may help some of the Lord’s dear people.

Dear brethren, let us look to this present trouble straight in the face and take it to the Lord in prayer, determined that we will not allow our hearts to be embittered against anyone. Let us also be careful how we receive the so-called Seventh Volume. It may be the true Seventh Volume as Brother Russell intended it, or it may not be.

One thing we feel certain of, namely, there are some fanciful interpretations in that volume, and some things that we do not hesitate to say are errors in doctrine—teaching—if we get the writer’s thought—that the minds of God’s little ones, who are faithful, should become "the open battleground of evil spirits." "He that is begotten of God keepeth himself and that wicked one toucheth him not."

Let us watch, then, and keep ourselves "in the love of God." Let us keep ourselves from all evil. Let us hold that fast which we have, that no man take our crown. Let us hold fast to our privilege of prayer, and of service in any and every way that falls to our lot. Meanwhile, let us not think it strange when fiery trials come upon us as though some really strange thing had happened unto us. Let us not become discouraged, and begin to draw back, allowing bitter feelings to come in and make us feel hard toward anyone. Let us "Watch and pray."

"God be with you till we meet at Jesus’ feet."

"Praying always, with all prayer and supplication in the spirit, and watching thereunto with all perseverance, and supplication for all saints."
AUDITOR'S LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

13 Cranberry St., Brooklyn, N. Y.,
August 20, 1917.

TO WHOM THIS MAY CONCERN:—

Deeply regretting the trials and troubles brought upon the Church by the high-handed usurpation of dominion over the affairs left by the Will of Brother Russell and the decree of the Charter of the Society placing the management of its affairs in the hands of the Directors; and being in possession of several facts pertaining to the unjust and unbusiness-like methods being followed by the present Management, it is with love toward all, "out of a pure heart," that I offer my protest against the action of those in charge in illegally disposing of four of the Society's Directors (each, with one exception, having been our dear Pastor's choice for many years in faithful service to the Church, and each having been named by him to be so used and continued), while permitting others to continue to govern without even the assent of the shareholders.

I also protest against such methods being used as compelling conscientious and consecrated children to assist in sending out (under threat of dismissal from the work) such a defamatory document as "Harvest Siftings." I also disapprove of using the time of a large part of the office force for the issuance of such an ignoble, uncalled-for, and cruel statement as contained in this paper, entitled "Harvest Siftings." If the contents were true I fail to see the wisdom, justice or love in sending broadcast to the world such scathing statements, especially so when those so vilified are prohibited from having privileges of explanation, and from using the Society's facilities in defense, as in the case of Brother Johnson, who frankly confessed and openly apologized before the Bethel family for his erroneous thought that he was the "steward" and was, presumably, forgiven by all present. Now to have the matter thus treated seems to me to show another spirit than that of the Lord Jesus.

I fail to see the right influence directed over the Bethel Home by the head of the house, warning those who require our late Pastor's wishes to be carried out (as was done in the dining-room)—the head—had not begun to fight yet, but if they were going to fight he would "fight to the finish." Again, to call on the Family to take sides in a controversy between himself and the Board of Directors (in an issue in which he refused discussion) by going to one side of the room and commanding those who would not side with him to go to the other side of the room; and for the head of the Bethel family to lay hands on Brother Johnson in the presence of the family while other prominent Elders and officials, supporting the President, stand aside and hiss in a manner that would resemble bar-room rowdism; and others offering to call the police.

I fail to see the proper influence exerted at the Tabernacle during office hours at the time of the singing of the hymns; the Managers disregard the time and privilege by walking around and holding conversation and making fun at the expense of those engaged in the singing. Or to be made the subject of jokes gathered regularly at the theaters and play-houses visited the night before by some who are members of the Bethel Family, and Elders, and who support the President and his methods.

Now the above is but a partial description of what we see at Bethel and the Tabernacle, making it necessary that a Board of Directors be in charge and control. While I do not mention these matters to judge, as I know the Lord is at the helm, still I feel as if those who are supporting this work should have the privilege of having a hand in directing the work through the appointed Directors, and that they should know these facts and be privileged to know how and where the funds are being used. Additionally I would say that I have mentioned to the President and others the fact that there are hundreds of dollars being paid out every week without record, except the check and check stub and copies of transmittal letters. Large and small orders are given without contract price stated thereon and adjustment of accounts are constantly being held up by letters of disputing characters, and with frequent loss of discounts. These are facts discovered in connection with my endeavor to serve as auditor, and because I insisted on having business methods adopted and was refused the cooperation, and was told to mind my own business and do as I was told or it would "go hard" with me.

I declined to sign orders on the Treasurer for three accounts involving over eleven thousand dollars because no audit was made of the accounts and I was positive that there was no book-account to show the correctness of the statement. Thus I incurred the displeasure of individuals that had a bearing on my not being wanted at the office. I am not impugning motives nor charging any with dishonesty, but simply advocating a set of books and proper supervision by Directors. My experience as auditor reveals that only one man knows anything about the accounts, and that man is not the President, or the Manager, or the Treasurer. It is my impression that the shareholders should investigate matters and insist that the Directors direct.

I also protest against the methods used in putting out of the Bethel Home over a score of faithful and willing sacrificing members for no other reason than to "limit our losses," and to have the power to resign the house—were we going to fight he would "fight to the finish." Again, to court the friends of the President and to neglect a score of faithful and willing sacrificing servants so vilified by the Cruelty over a score of faithful and willing sacrificing members for no other reason than to "limit our losses," and to have the power to resign the house—were we going to fight he would "fight to the finish." Again, to court the friends of the President and to neglect a score of faithful and willing sacrificing servants so vilified by the President, stand aside and hiss in a manner that would resemble bar-room rowdism; and others offering to call the police.

AN OPEN LETTER TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF THE SOCIETY

Freehold, N. J.,
August 13th, 1917.

MESSRS. PIERSON, RITCHIE, WRIGHT, HOASINS AND HIRSH, BOX 179, BROOKLYN, N. Y.,

DEAR BRETHREN:—

You have invited me to write a statement of my connection in advising you as brethren and as Directors of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society. I understand that you sought my assistance as a brother in the Truth who has some knowledge of the law, because I am a member of the legal profession. I have not, however, been your lawyer, as you have paid counsel, a very reputable firm of high class lawyers, to whom I introduced you.

I symbolized my consecration by water immersion at the Memorial season (March), 1905, in the presence of the Philadelphia Church, having become thoroughly interested and having accepted the Truth in 1903, this season being the first opportunity I had knowledge of to undergo baptism by the use of water. I have never missed the season of fellowship with the brethren at the Annual Memorial since that time. I made the Vow my own in (I think) 1908. I was recommended by the Trenton Ecclesia for the Auxiliary
Pilgrim work, and did some slight work in this way. I was for years the senior Elder of the Trenton Ecclesia. I was a witness for Brother Rutherford in the trial for having written an article about the Brooklyn Eagle, at the written request of Brother Rutherford.

Since moving to Freehold I have the privilege of testifying in Court here or at other places in the county of Monmouth or elsewhere, but have not so far had occasion to testify in Court here before our fellow citizens of this county.

I am delivering discourses here regularly on Sundays to the consecrated able to attend and to others. I mention these matters (and might recite many more) in order that the friends will understand that the lawyer whom Brother Rutherford says he knew and to whom he telegraphed from Duluth, Minn., is a brother in the Truth.

Brother Rutherford states on page one of his "Harvest Sittings" that you consulted a lawyer who is "not too friendly toward the Truth." This statement is untrue and I wrote him that his statements concerning me in his pamphlet are false, and that he is experienced enough to know that that is not the proper way to reply to proper criticisms of his legal advice. He replied saying you probably have forgotten that you wrote a letter to Brother Hoskins, dated July 4th, in which you made a statement to the effect that 'Rutherford's statement might look plausible, but it would be well for you to have statements from various ones of the large contributors to the Tract Fund showing that they are back of you, and present these to Rutherford and bring pressure to bear on him to heed what you say.' The presumption is that you have a copy of this letter. Suppose you look it over and see if there is not a statement in there to that effect, and if so could they have obtained such statements without stating their side to the shareholders. Their evident purpose was to do this very thing when they appeared before the Philadelphia congregation on Sunday night, July 15th, and made derogatory statements with the evident purpose of creating sentiment to bring pressure to bear upon the officers of the Society mentioned.

I wrote no such statement to Brother Hoskins on July 4th, nor at any time, nor to anyone else. What happened in this case was as follows: On July 3d, 1917, I wrote to Brother Hoskins, replying to a letter from him dated the 1st of July, 1917. I wrote as follows: "You submit to me several questions. I am unable to answer your question suggesting that the President of the Society with others may be engaged in endeavoring in the meantime (that is, while away from Brooklyn) to prevail upon shareholders of the Society to refrain from passing the resolution in question on the adjourned date. An informal representation of that kind made in that way would in my opinion not be legally binding, although it might have its moral effect."

I further see that Brother Rutherford was misinformed as to this matter by someone. I think, however, that it is proper for you to notify the shareholders of the Society that either they themselves should vote or else give the proxies to some one from the home class to vote for them, so as to prevent any one or any group from gaining and holding control of the Society.

In conclusion as to Brother Rutherford's statement concerning my action against the Brooklyn Eagle, at the written request of Brother Rutherford, I wish to state that was a matter properly within the rule of procedure laid down in Matthew 18, whereas the management of the Society's affairs is a legal matter and the conduct of the Society by its Directors is a proper subject for communication to the shareholders of the Society, who are its true owners.

The first member of the Board of Directors to call to see me about the affairs of the Society was Brother Ritchie. He said that he was not interested in the Angelophone, and that Brother Russell had thought it was. Brother Ritchie had thought so much of it that he wished to have that feature of the work continued, if he could properly do so. He brought no written data with him showing how the matter stood legally, but I gave him such assistance for his personal guidance as I could, and he went away. I doubt if he received much help from me and that is all I know about that. Brother Rutherford states on page one of his "Harvest Sittings" that you consulted a lawyer who is "not too friendly toward the Truth." He brought no written data with him showing how the matter stood legally, but I gave him such assistance for his personal guidance as I could, and he went away. I doubt if he received much help from me and that is all I know about that.
me to tell him if I could do so, even and especially if I did not know his own personal feelings and wishes in the matter. I also declined to tell him that as Directors were the lawful managers of the Society—the managing partners or trustees, if you will, for the owners, the shareholders—that in helping the Board I would be assisting the duly constituted authority in the Society and that I could not properly do anything else without opposing those providentially provided to supervise affairs, including the acts of the Executive officers, who are by law the agents of the Directors.

I understand that at the Directors' meeting of June twentieth last it was intimated or suggested to Brother Rutherford that there should be some adequate by-laws passed by the Directors for the conduct of the Society. (As far as I know or as far as "the Directors" knew, there were none except the very incomplete ones passed at Pittsburgh by the shareholders at Brother Rutherford's insistence, which were drawn by him before he was elected President and which were causing the trouble.)

Brother Rutherford put the Directors off at that time, and as he states in his "Harvest Siftings" (page 12, col. 4, par. 1) the meeting of the Board was adjourned until July 20. He then went away on a trip. Others also went away on trips.

Shortly afterwards I received a telephone message from Brother Hoskins saying that there had been some trouble at the Tabernacle and asking me to come over that evening. I did so, arriving at the Bethel about 9 P. M. I was, therefore, present at an apartment nearby, where I met with the Brothers Wright, Ritchie, Hirsh and Hoskins, composing the majority of the Board of Directors.

It developed that previously a set of rules had been promulgated by Brother Rutherford as President of the Peoples Pulpit Association, to which all the Bethel family had, in all innocence, agreed spontaneously, including the Directors, to the effect that all folks, except officers or committees, not employed at the Tabernacle should not be permitted there during working hours. On this particular day, during Brother Rutherford's absence, somehow the Directors were informed that talk was rife that none of them were to be allowed at the Tabernacle. These four brethren—Messrs. Ritchie, Wright, Hoskins and Hirsh, whose work had been so arranged as to require them all at Bethel and not at the Tabernacle, were amazed, as they had never thought, they say, that the rules were intended to be binding upon the Society's Trustees, who are legally officers of the Society, and especially two of them who were also Directors of the Peoples Pulpit Association. They thereupon concluded to call upon Brother Martin at the Tabernacle and inquire as to the full import of the edict which had gone forth. They had no other motive except to learn the situation.

When the four Directors (two also Directors in the Peoples Pulpit Ass'n) inquired of Brother Martin, Brother MacMillan came forward and ordered the four out of the place (including his fellow Directors in the Peoples Pulpit Ass'n). They declined to go. Brother Rutherford states in his "Harvest Siftings" that Brother Hirsh shook his fist at Brother MacMillan. Brother Hirsh denies this, saying he shook his finger at Brother MacMillan, in which denial the other Directors concurred.

Brother MacMillan thereupon sent Brother Martin for a policeman. Brother Martin said he would obey orders. When the officer came he refused to remove the Directors, saying he knew he had no right to do so. The Directors at that time were upstairs by themselves, in the Chapel, annoying no one.

At the Bethel on July 17th Brother MacMillan said he did as he had, because these Directors were disturbing the work; later forgetting for the moment his previous statement, he said that some of the workers there did not even know they were present. These Directors shortly afterward left the Tabernacle.

I attended this night conference that the Directors had no desire to deprive Brother Rutherford as President of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of any of his rightful prerogatives, but that they knew nothing of the affairs of the Society or about its funds and had no proper supervision of them. They considered that both Brothers Rutherford and MacMillan were running affairs with a high hand. They said that any time they attempted to discuss any matter of importance with the President he was accustomed to inform them that they were not lawyers and therefore not competent to pass on such matters. Brother Wright said that on one occasion shortly after Brother Russell's death he had spoken to the new President about some matters and that Brother Rutherford had then said to him, "Brother, those are matters which we should take up and discuss at length," but that Brother Rutherford did not do so later and became less and less inclined to do so. Brother Wright said also that in the early days of the Society when Brother Russell had the majority of the voting shares, he did not pay much attention to the Directors, as he by his own votes controlled the Society's affairs, but that later when he no longer held the majority in voting shares Brother Russell paid more attention to the Directors. Brother Russell also said and wrote that the Directors would come to the front in case of his death.

I was informed that night that Brother Russell (it was rumored) had left a very large sum of money in cash, which was subject to return to the friends who had deposited it on their call, should they be in need of it. The Directors had no further knowledge of how this fund had been safeguarded. They told me that as they were personally responsible if negligent in the care of the funds of the Society, especially of this trust fund, which was subject to repayment. At this meeting they informed me that they had been told that the work in New York was done by the Peoples Pulpit Association that they could do nothing anyway, presumably because the Directorate of the Peoples Pulpit Association was controlled by Brother Rutherford through its Directors by a majority of one member, that majority being made up of Brothers Rutherford, MacMillan, Van Amburgh and Hudgings.

I told them that as the Peoples Pulpit Association received all its funds from the Society in carrying out the work, they could easily exercise control of the Association if the Association attempted to take the duly constituted authority of the Society, and consequently the Society itself by the throat, by stopping temporarily or limiting the supply of money flowing from the Society to the Peoples Pulpit Association. I then told them that they had legal authority, and the moral right as well, to pass a resolution directing the banks not to honor checks, either for withdrawal or deposit, without the signature of some additional Director or of that of the Treasurer. In other words, that while they should not attempt to depute Brother Van Amburgh of the control as Treasurer of the Society's funds, they could limit his sole control by requiring an additional signature to his in financial transactions. I advised them further that they ought to have proper by-laws to regulate the Society's affairs and to regulate the activities of the executive officers and keep them within reasonable bounds. I further said that the statute of Pennsylvania required the Society to pass certain by-laws or ordinances and that they had apparently failed to do this. I told them that there also might be such a thing as criminal neglect of the Society's funds. I told them that the banks would in all probability comply with their notification without much trouble.

The next day after this conference Brother Rutherford telegraphed me from Duluth, Minn., to the following effect: If you are advising Hirsh or others please, for the sake of the cause, advise them not to try any too friendly to the Truth but they would have asked me to advise them "for the sake of the cause".

The Directors were advised that something startling was being devised in Brother Rutherford's absence, which
was termed a bomb, which was to be exploded upon his return from the West—this in spite of his telegram that matters would be properly adjusted upon his return about the 18th.

The brethren asked what he could do, as they had heard he thought of working the affairs through some rival Society altogether. I told them that they were the duly recognized authority entitled to control affairs and I did not see why he could do anything very serious when they were acting lawfully. I could hardly believe that the friends would prefer to allow Brother Rutherford to act illegally and disregard the law, acting as if the opinion of a lawyer was the judgment of a Court, and would stand by and see him oust their rightful representatives from the protection and control of their affairs. I had already advised them that only the shareholders could amend the Charter of the Society.

After Brother Rutherford returned from the West I received, at Trenton on July 17, a telephone message during the forenoon from Brother Hoskins saying that the President, Brother Rutherford, had called a meeting of the Directors on the evening of the 16th last (for 8 A. M. that morning) and had notified the Directors of the Society—Pierson, Hirsh, Ritchie, Wright and Hoskins—that there would be a Directors' meeting of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society at 9 A. M. How Brother Rutherford could call Brothers Hirsh, Ritchie, Wright and Hoskins to a Directors' meeting at 8 A. M. in Brooklyn, when on July 12 at Pittsburgh he had secretly appointed Brothers Spill, Bohnet, Fisher and MacMillan as members of the Board in their places, I cannot understand. In other words, on July 17, in Brooklyn, he called brethren to a Directors' meeting whom he had previously displaced, when there were other brethren whom he claimed were members by his own appointment, as of July 12 at Pittsburgh. He further called the meeting of the Board of Directors and also of the spurious board in Brooklyn, where he said it could not be held.

Brother Hoskins informed me over the telephone that Brother Rutherford had announced at the breakfast table at Bethel that he hoped all would be present at the noon meal, as he expected "a strenuous day." The Directors wished me to come over and be at the table. I complied with their request. Brother Rutherford said at the conclusion of the meal that he had some announcements to make. He could, he trusted, honestly thought he had made up his mind to get together with the Directors, and that all trouble was done away with. He had an opinion read from a Philadelphia lawyer, holding that the four brethren—Hirsh, Hoskins, Wright and Ritchie—were not on the Board of Directors. In fact, the gist of the matter was that the only legal Directors were the President, Vice-President and Treasurer, who had been elected as such at Allegheny. The New York lawyers of the Directors later advised them that according to the New York Court of Appeals such an election to office did not of itself constitute them members of the Board of Directors, and at any rate it followed as a matter of course that in January last (if Brother Rutherford and his Philadelphia lawyer are correct) Brother Rutherford was not a Director at the time he was elected President and he was not qualified, therefore, to hold the office of President, so his present title to the office would be invalid. On the other hand, if the Directors were rightfully so as "holdovers," then they are still, and others cannot fill their places until the shareholders do so at the next meeting.

Brother Rutherford announced that he had appointed these other brethren to the so-called vacant posts of Directship. He also announced that the seventh volume was ready for distribution. He said further that it had not been intended to distribute it so soon, but knowing of the trouble brewing they had hurried up the putting of it out.

In other words, he knew the trouble was to be precipitated of his own motion on that day. He invited all hands there to receive the seventh volume, knowing full well that at that time he intended to announce that he had taken the law into his own hands, an unlawful thing to do, and that he intended to assert his titles to and with the constituted authorities from their lawful place. Of course, protests were made against this surprisingly wilful course. I advised the spurious members not to accept the places offered them, and Brother Pierson says he asked Brother Rutherford to go along with the proper Board of Directors whom he had had, if only recognized and met with.

Brother Rutherford instead labored with Brother Pierson, the latter says, for several hours and finally induced him unwillingly to sign resolutions to the effect that no other than Brother Rutherford in the church is so well qualified as he is to do this work; or could have received at the Lord's hands greater evidences of His love and favor. Brother Pierson afterwards concluded he was in error in signing the resolutions and decided, in writing, to stand by the old Board.

The Pennsylvania Courts have held that the Directors shall elect (as the statute provides) Directors to fill vacancies until the next shareholders' election for Directors in the manner provided in the Articles of Association, and have said that Directors may not declare other Directors' offices vacant and then fill the vacancies. If that be so, it is clear that the President of the Society may not do what the Directors may not do, if for no other reasons than that there would be no ex parte action of the required thirty days in which the Directors must first elect to fill vacancies, and because the statute requires the Directors to fill vacancies (in the manner provided by the Society), and it is doubtful if the President may at any time appoint Directors to fill vacancies. He certainly cannot do that which the law forbids Directors to do. Nothing but the judgment of a Court could declare the offices vacant.

The fact that Brother Rutherford recognized Brothers Hirsh, Hoskins, Wright and Ritchie as valid Board members by acting with them as Directors and meeting with them continuously in New York, places him in a very bad position in now repudiating them. I think a Court of Equity would say to him that "He who asks Equity must do Equity," and that "He who comes into a Court of Equity must come with clean hands!" However that may be, you have advice of competent counsel on this subject.

Legal counsel was employed in New York during Brother Rutherford's absence in the West solely for the purpose of enabling the Directors to acquit themselves of such by-laws as would accomplish this object. The very incomplete and meager by-laws drafted at Pittsburgh necessarily needed to be largely added to, not merely amended. The lawyers were not consulted for the purpose of going to law, but for the purpose of taking advice as to how to properly regulate the affairs of the Society. The President ascribes these seemingly unfortunate and distressing affairs to the Evil One and attempts to make parallel the actions of Brother Johnson in Europe with those of the Directors here. The parallel, if there is any, it seems to us, is more pointedly applicable in another way.

Brother Johnson in his illness, enlarging upon the false "sweeping authority," or as he called it, "plenipotentiary powers," conferred upon him by Brother Rutherford to obtain his passport, became erroneously (we believe) convinced that he was the steward in the parable of the penny and acted as he thought in accordance with his interpretation of it. In this country, at the Boston Convention, Brother Woodward spoke publicly of the President as the steward and since has published personally his exposition. He requests the friends therein to write to Brother Rutherford to put his exposition of the parable in "The Watch Tower," because Brother Rutherford refused to allow it to go in the Tower, inerentially through modesty on his part, and not through any doubt of the application of the parable to himself personally. He seems to try to make the same application of it as does Brother Woodworth because he speaks of murmuring against the seventh volume as the penny of the parable, which Brother Woodward says he, as the steward, has dispensed. Whoever may be the steward of the parable and whatever may be the
penny, the volume claims itself to be the posthumous work of Brother Russell as his last bequest to the church and not the dispensation of our new President. The parallel, however, to us is that the one who is said to be the steward here, and who seems to acquire in that application, is doing the "casting out" of the brethren in this country and endeavoring to and succeeding in upsetting the duly constituted authority of the Society under the law and therefore the parallel attempted to be made in the "Siftings" pamphlet is not a parallel at all.

In conclusion, then, the friends will necessarily need to decide two important questions before taking up the other differences:

First, who is the rightful authority in the Society? It is well to remember Brother Pierson's suggestion to Brother Rutherford in this connection, that if the title of the members of the Board is invalid, surely it has gone on this way so long that he could easily wait until the next shareholders' meeting to make the Board a legal one beyond all question. Brother Rutherford well knows that the Directors are the duly constituted authority in the Society, and that they have a right and duty to inform themselves by such inspection of its affairs as they see fit. If this were not so, he would not so hastily have tried to get rid of the Directors. So, then, we see that the Directors are the managers of the Society to supervise and generally direct its affairs and correct abuses in the interest of the shareholders.

The second question for the friends to decide is as to who is ambitious in a wrong sense.

Every one in the Society has ability enough to understand that one is not sinfully ambitious who desires to do that which is pleasing to God and to serve the shareholders of the Society. To desire to do one's own plain duty is hardly more than commendable. On the other hand, to overthrew rightful authority over oneself, and to take the law into one's own hand, to thwart those having the rightful rule over one, is, I submit, a wrong and wilful course and contrary to the Divine Word. It seems to me that the friends, even if they cannot understand legal difficulties, can understand and decide the matter along the lines of common honesty.

Brother Rutherford, because he is a lawyer, and as such was peculiarly useful to Brother Russell, and because he is a good speaker, became the most prominent candidate for the presidency. The friends did not believe that they could have elected a new Board of Directors and could then have elected a President and Treasurer from the new Directors. Brother Rutherford knew, but did not tell them. He states in his "Harvest Siftings" that he did not believe the friends would elect him. Brother Rutherford was, I think, elected especially because he was more meek and lowly than others. Moses was the meekest man in all the earth, and the Saviour: was meek and lowly, and yet neither of them were supine; both excelled in the matter of firmness and character. I believe, then, that whatever murmuring was done on July 17 was done by Brother Rutherford and those associated with him. He also acted on top of his murmuring, and so placed the option as to whether the Directors should go to law upon them. Had they exercised their lawful rights they would now be in authority and in control of affairs, and Brother Rutherford must have been injured. Brother Rutherford says in his "Harvest Siftings" that (see page 8, 2d col., par. 1; also page 22, 2d col. under 2d part): "It was the unanimous consent of all present that Brother Johnson was of unsound mind." Those present included the Board of Directors. If such was the case, how foolish, then, to quote the statements of a man whose opinions were mental, as authoritative as to the motives or objects sought to be attained. These brethren have assured me that the Johnson case is a mere incident and they all know that I would have had nothing to do with the matter in case this was merely an attempt to further his thoughts and doings when he was ill or since then. Had Brother Rutherford not stated that Brother Johnson had sweeping powers, to obtain his passport, and had not so written to England to avoid the censor's criticisms, Brother Johnson either would not have gotten as far as he did when in England, or else would have remained at home and his trouble would have been avoided. The harm in the first instance was due to the untrue statements to the authorities to get the passports, statements which Brother Russell would not have made, and Brother Johnson would have remained at home.

Brother Rutherford, in several places, criticizes the four members of the Board of Directors as not attending to their work while occupying their time in this matter; treating them merely as clerks and subordinates, forgetting that the more important part of their work is as supervising officers of the Society.

He said in his "Harvest Siftings" (page 10, col. 2, par. 4) that the work of the Society peculiarly requires the direction of one mind. This is then the crux of the difficulty. He says he is that one mind. The law, the Charter and Brother Russell have provided to the contrary. Of course, the arrangement as to detail work must be properly conducted as in any large multifarious concern; but if the shareholders are to have no proper check on the acts of the executive officers, how are they to know what is being done with the Lord's affairs?

If the majority of the spurious Board now acting are away from Brooklyn, living elsewhere, and it is true (which I doubt seriously) that the Directors for the present are not members of the Board of Directors, then the shareholders are not represented by the Directors for the present. If such is the case, how can they have a right to elect a President and Treasurer from the directors now in authority? The shareholders who are the rightful authority over one, is, I submit, a wrong and wilful course and contrary to the Divine Word. It seems to me that the friends, even if they cannot understand legal difficulties, can understand and decide the matter along the lines of common honesty.

Is it not a fact, then, that in less than a year after our Pastor's death, we see our Society seized away from those lawfully and divinely constituted its rightful managers for the shareholders?

It may be possible, perhaps, to elect a new Board of Directors next January at the regular meeting and adjourn for a long enough time to allow for proper consideration of the various candidates and elect or re-elect the proper ones. I hope so. The shareholders should send some one to the meeting to vote their proxies and not send them to headquarters. They should not vote without knowing what they are doing. They should regard it as a God-given responsibility.

Great responsibility, moral and legal, rests upon the Directors of the Society, who are by law and the Charter the managers of the Society. The President and other officers are agents of the Board, who are the Trustees for the Society. The law is settled—that the Directors must exercise ordinary care and prudence in the trusts committed to them, the same degree of care and prudence that men permitted by self-interest generally exercise in their own affairs. When one voluntarily takes the position of Trustee or Director of a corporation, good faith, exact justice and public policy unite in requiring of him just such a degree of care and prudence, and it is a gross breach of duty not to bestow it.

The members of the Board should attend at the appointed time for a meeting. A member alone, it is true, cannot pass a valid resolution, but he can require and gain all the information that could be had were a quorum present; nor is a member excused because the President informs him that there will be no quorum. If there is an executive committee the Directors are not excused from liability because they commit their duties to the executive committee.

We see, therefore, that the shareholders should exercise care and that the Directors should be well chosen, and that there should be time to make a proper selection of officers from the new Board of Directors, whoever they may be. Thanking you for your attention to this matter, I am yours, FRANCIS H. McGEE.
We trust that it is clearly seen by all that the protests which the Board of Directors have made are not those of self-defense, for, as we have repeatedly said, we would gladly forego and sacrifice all our personal rights and suffer them to be taken from us; but there is far more than our own personal rights involved, for membership in the Board of Directors represents a stewardship of the friends of the Truth everywhere, who have placed their money, their property and their confidence in the Society. Therefore, for the Board of Directors to allow ambitious men to usurp the power and function of their offices, without protest, would mean to prove themselves unfaithful stewards—unfaithful to the trust reposed in them by the Lord's people.

When in a previous circular which many of you received we intimated that the matter might be allowed to go into litigation for settlement, we were leaning in the direction of advice received from some prominent brethren who had placed their money in the Society with the understanding that it would be used in harmony with the Charter of the Society and in harmony with the Will of Brother Russell, and these brethren have further explained to us that the words, "the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society was a business corporation based upon the rules and laws of earthly courts" it would be entirely proper to allow this matter to go into court for settlement, even as St. Paul appealed to Caesar in defense of his stewardship; and especially as Brother Rutherford had emphatically stated that he would not be moved from his unlawful position, no matter how many resolutions were passed around that no one is lawfully bound to cede from his unlawful position, dismiss the unlawfully constituted Board members, take steps to correct his error in rehiring Paul Rutherford from the Peoples Pulpit Association, and otherwise to set himself straight with the Society.

While this statement of facts to the shareholders, we wish to say that the issue matter and leave the shareholders to indicate whether or not they wish to support the present administration in its continual perversion of the traditions, usages and customs of our Society.

And now, dear brethren, what shall be done? You will individually, of course, do as you think best. We think it will be a great mistake to leave matters as they are. We advise, therefore, that all who have one or more shares in the Society write a personal request to the President—a short note, the shorter, the better—requesting the President to recede from his unlawful position, dismiss the unlawfully constituted Board members, take steps to correct his error in rehiring Paul Rutherford from the Peoples Pulpit Association, and otherwise to set himself straight with the Society. We predict very much more trouble for the Society and its members if such steps are not taken.

Further, we advise that if you have been solicited, or shall be solicited for your votes looking to any future change in the Society, that you be careful not to allow your votes to be cast for a candidate which you do not understand. Proxies which bear instructions to be voted for certain ones at the last election were wholly disregarded in some cases, and word was passed around that no one is lawfully bound to vote a proxy as instructed. It will now be advisable for the friends everywhere to either attend the shareholders' meeting personally or else send a delegate from their local classes, or at least from a county gathering.

You will understand the Society's affairs much better by the time the next election comes than you ever have before. Meanwhile, let us all trust in the Lord and do good unto all as we have opportunity and especially to the household of faith.

Think not, dear brethren, that our hearts are bitter toward any of those whose names we have freely used through these pages, and of whom we have found it necessary to tell some plain truths. We realize that it is a time for all to keep themselves in the love of God, and to watch and to keep their garments white. We know that no matter how great the injustice and the wrong received at the hands of our brethren, even expulsion from the Bethel Home, and to have our names "cast as evil"—these are nothing to compare with what was suffered by our illustrious Head and Forerunner, of whom it was written that He was "despised and rejected of men."

We regret indeed that our troubles here are causing sorrow to you all. Would that this might have been averted, and you might have been spared the pain. But our Heavenly Father knows what we have need of and what to permit in the way of trial to crystallize the character that has been forming through the years. We hope by praying that the Lord would send whatever experiences are best for us, and now, this has come. God's will be done.

Our prayer shall be, however, that if it is God's will to continue the work of the Harvest in the simplicity and purity in which it has for forty years been conducted under Brother Russell, and you may be helped and guided by the Master of the Harvest to come to the front in the support, defense and preservation of the ideals, the principles and the memory of our beloved Pastor, until the Harvest be closed and His Saints are gathered Home—to be forever with the Lord.

A PHILADELPHIA CHURCH LETTER

We quote below a forceful and interesting letter addressed to Brother Rutherford by the Philadelphia Church. The friends at Philadelphia called both sides of this controversy to their City one evening and for hours heard the subject discussed from both viewpoints. This is the only Ecclesia that has heard the matter fairly set forth. A copy of their letter was sent to each member of the Board. This letter, therefore, speaks for itself.

Brother J. F. Rutherford,
122 Columbia Heights,
Brooklyn, N. Y.

Dear Brother Rutherford:

The Philadelphia Ecclesia duly assembled and having carefully considered the impending crisis threatening to disrupt the organization of the Lord's people, has decided by the Lord's assisting grace to suspend judgment in the case of any of our brethren, preferring to leave personal judgment in the hands of the Lord, our Master; but inasmuch as we are advised in no mistakeable terms that the case is about to be appealed to the common law [this thought has now been abandoned], we hereby urge upon your attention our final appeal that you take immediate steps toward the calling of a special meeting of the stockholders of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society to be held at as early a date as possible.

Neglect to call such a meeting will probably lose for you the support of the Philadelphia Church and many of the Lord's people scattered abroad, besides incidentally proving to be the cause of the case being thrown into the hands of the unconsecrated, perhaps bringing about an infraction against the present management of the Society, if not indeed the temporary suspending of the work altogether.

If you believe your course of action to be right, you will undoubtedly be glad for the approval and support of the only earthly body to whom you are ultimately accountable; furthermore the Philadelphia Ecclesia has confidence in your expressed desire to faithfully serve the Lord's cause and to this end we urge upon you immediate action in the calling of the special meeting above mentioned.

In the Master's service,

PHILADELPHIA ECCLESIA.
THE CHARTER—THE FOUNDATION OF OUR SOCIETY

We take pleasure, as previously stated, in printing below the Charter of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society. Upon the basis of this document our Beloved Pastor conducted the Harvest Work for 34 years. More than 50,000 persons received Present Truth during this time and perhaps thousands of others were led from darkness into measurable light. Such blessings have never come to so many of God’s saints in the same length of time as have come since the Society’s charter was issued.

This Charter bears the endorsement, as required by the Laws of Pennsylvania, of an Associate Judge of the Pennsylvania Court of Common Pleas, certifying that it is “lawful,” and that the incorporators were entitled to form a corporation for the purposes and upon the terms therein stated. The validity of this charter was again confirmed in 1896 by the Pennsylvania Court, when it approved the petition of Brother Russell, asking that the name of the society be changed from Zion’s Watch Tower Tract Society to its present name.

Since Brother Russell’s death, Brother Rutherford has for the first time declared that this form of government by Directors who are to hold office for life, unless removed by two thirds vote of the shareholders, is illegal under the Laws of the State of Pennsylvania, and that Directors can lawfully hold office for one year. He has also for the first time declared that the Laws of the State of Pennsylvania at least three of the Directors must be residents of that state.

We are advised by our counsel that the claims of Brother Rutherford in these respects are entirely without warrant; that we are lawfully Directors of the Society; that those whom Brother Rutherford has undertaken to appoint in our places have no right to a seat on the Board; and that if the claims of Brother Rutherford were sound in law, he himself could have no legal title to office either as a Director or as President.

The following is a copy of the Society’s Charter:

CHARTER OF THE WATCH TOWER BIBLE AND TRACT SOCIETY—WITH IMPORTANT NOTES APPENDED

Be it known, That the subscribers, having associated themselves together for the purpose of the dissemination of Bible Truths in various languages, and being desirous of becoming incorporated agreeably to the provisions of the Act of the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, entitled “An Act to provide for the Incorporation and Regulation of certain Corporations,” approved the Twenty-ninth day of April, Anno Domini, one thousand and eight hundred and seventy-four, and its supplements, do hereby declare, set forth and certify that the following are the purposes, means, rents, annuities, franchises and the right, power and authority to take, receive and hold in fee simple, or any less estate, all such messuages, lots, lands, buildings, tenements, rents, annuities, franchises and hereditaments as may be necessary and proper for its purposes; and to sell, lease, mortgage, or otherwise dispose of the same or any part thereof, and to sell, lease or otherwise dispose of any and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation, by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall have full power and authority to make, have and use a common seal, with such device and inscription as they may deem proper, and the same to alter and renew at their pleasure; and by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall be able in law and equity to sue and be sued, joined and imploaked in any Court or Courts, before any Judge or Justice of the Peace, in all manner of suits and complaints, pleases, causes, matters and demands whatsoever, and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation, by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall have full power and authority to make, have and use a common seal, with such device and inscription as they may deem proper, and the same to alter and renew at their pleasure; and by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall be able in law and equity to sue and be sued, joined and imploaked in any Court or Courts, before any Judge or Justice of the Peace, in all manner of suits and complaints, pleases, causes, matters and demands whatsoever, and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation has no capital stock. Each donation of Ten Dollars to the funds of said Corporation shall entitle the donor, or his assigns, to one non-forfeitable, non-assessable and non-dividend bearing share, and shall give the donor the right, power and authority to take, receive and hold in fee simple, or any less estate, all such messuages, lots, lands, buildings, tenements, rents, annuities, franchises and hereditaments as may be necessary and proper for its purposes; and to sell, lease, mortgage, or otherwise dispose of the same or any part thereof, and to sell, lease or otherwise dispose of any and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation, by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall have full power and authority to make, have and use a common seal, with such device and inscription as they may deem proper, and the same to alter and renew at their pleasure; and by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall be able in law and equity to sue and be sued, joined and imploaked in any Court or Courts, before any Judge or Justice of the Peace, in all manner of suits and complaints, pleases, causes, matters and demands whatsoever, and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation is to exist perpetually.

The Corporation, by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall have full power and authority to make, have and use a common seal, with such device and inscription as they may deem proper, and the same to alter and renew at their pleasure; and by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall be able in law and equity to sue and be sued, joined and imploaked in any Court or Courts, before any Judge or Justice of the Peace, in all manner of suits and complaints, pleases, causes, matters and demands whatsoever, and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation is to exist perpetually.

The Corporation, by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall have full power and authority to make, have and use a common seal, with such device and inscription as they may deem proper, and the same to alter and renew at their pleasure; and by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall be able in law and equity to sue and be sued, joined and imploaked in any Court or Courts, before any Judge or Justice of the Peace, in all manner of suits and complaints, pleases, causes, matters and demands whatsoever, and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation, by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall have full power and authority to make, have and use a common seal, with such device and inscription as they may deem proper, and the same to alter and renew at their pleasure; and by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall be able in law and equity to sue and be sued, joined and imploaked in any Court or Courts, before any Judge or Justice of the Peace, in all manner of suits and complaints, pleases, causes, matters and demands whatsoever, and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation, by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall have full power and authority to make, have and use a common seal, with such device and inscription as they may deem proper, and the same to alter and renew at their pleasure; and by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall be able in law and equity to sue and be sued, joined and imploaked in any Court or Courts, before any Judge or Justice of the Peace, in all manner of suits and complaints, pleases, causes, matters and demands whatsoever, and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.

The Corporation, by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall have full power and authority to make, have and use a common seal, with such device and inscription as they may deem proper, and the same to alter and renew at their pleasure; and by the name, style and title aforesaid, shall be able in law and equity to sue and be sued, joined and imploaked in any Court or Courts, before any Judge or Justice of the Peace, in all manner of suits and complaints, pleases, causes, matters and demands whatsoever, and all and every matter or thing therein to do in full and complete manner, and as effectually as any other person, or persons, bodies politic or corporate within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, may or can do.
shall be a Corporation by the name of Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society for the purposes and upon the terms therein stated.

From the Record.

J. O. BROWN (Court Seal)
Prebendatory.
Recorded Dec. 15th, 1886.

CHANGE OF TITLE OF THE SOCIETY.

In re petition for change of name of Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society

Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society

To the Honorable the Judges of the Court of Common Pleas No. 1 of Allegheny County

The Petition of Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society respectfully represents:

That it is an Association incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, by the Court of Common Pleas No. One (1) of said County, on the 13th day of December, 1884, for the purposes specified in Section Two (2) of its charter, which reads as follows:

1. The purposes for which the Corporation is formed is the dissemination of Bible Truths in various languages by means of the publication of tracts, pamphlets, papers, and other religious documents and by the use of all other lawful means which its Board of Directors, duly constituted, shall deem expedient for the furtherance of the purposes stated.

That said purpose is embraced within the Corporations of the first class, specified in Section Second, of an Act of the General Assembly of the Commonwealth, entitled, "An Act to Provide for the Incorporation of certain Corporations," approved the 20th day of April A. D. 1874.

That in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act of the General Assembly, the said Association is desirous of changing the name, style and title by which it was incorporated, and at a meeting of the said Corporation duly convened, the following changes in the name, style and title as set forth in said charter was duly adopted:

That the name, style and title of said Corporation be changed from "Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society," to "Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society."

In Witness whereof the said Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society hath hereunto affixed its corporate seal, attached by its President and Secretary, this 8th day of August, A. D. 1886.

Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society,

CHARLES T. RUSSELL (Corporate Seal)
President.

John K. Ewing
County of Allegheny
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Be it remembered that on the 11th day of August, 1896, before me, a Notary Public, in and for said County and State, personally came Charles T. Russell, President of said Corporation, and Maria F. Russell, Secretary of said Corporation, who, being duly sworn, did say that they were personally present at the execution of the within petition and that the common seal of said Corporation, Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society, affixed thereto, and that said seal is the common and corporate seal, and that the foregoing petition was signed, sealed and duly delivered by, on and for the act and deed of said Corporation, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and that their signatures thereto are in their own proper handwriting, and that the facts set forth in said petition are correct and true as they verily believe.

CHARLES T. RUSSELL,
Maria F. Russell.

Affirmed and subscribed before me, the day and year aforesaid.
Witness my hand and notarial seal,
JOHN K. EWING (N. P. Seal)
Notary Public.

INTERLOCUTORY DEREE.

And now, to wit, August 24th, 1896, the foregoing petition for change of the name, style and title of the charter of Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society, having been duly presented to this Court, in order that the same might be deemed and taken to be part of the charter of the said Corporation, and it appearing that such change in the name, style and title of said Corporation is lawful and beneficial, and does not conflict with the requirements of the Act of the General Assembly of this Commonwealth, entitled, "An Act to provide for the Incorporation and Regulation of Certain Corporations," approved the 29th day of April, 1874, nor with the Constitution of this State, and proof having been produced to this Court, showing that notice of the foregoing application has been duly given to the Auditor General of the State of Pennsylvania, it is hereby ordered and decreed that notice of this application shall be given by publication in accordance with the statute in such case made and provided.

By the Court.

FINAL DECREES.

And now, to wit, September 19th, 1896, the within petition for the change of name of the within designated Corporation having been presented to this Court, accompanied by due proof of publication of notice thereof, and no cause having been shown to the contrary, it is on motion of Charles W. Dahlinger, Esq., ordered and decreed that upon the recording of the same, that the name, style and title of "Zion's Watch Tower Tract Society" be changed to "Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society," and said change shall be deemed and taken to be part of the Charter of said Corporation.

By the Court.

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
County of Allegheny

Recorded on this 22nd day of September, A. D. 1896, in the Recorder's Office of said County in Charter Book, Volume 22, page 415. Given under my hand and seal of the said Office the day and year aforesaid.

(Signed) Geo. B. von Soenhoff, Recorder.

(SEAL of the Recorder's Office, Allegheny County, Pa.)

STATEMENT BY BROTHER RUSSELL

as set forth in the Booklet. "'A Conspiracy Exposed and Harvest Sittings," April 22, 1894, In Respect to the Usefulness of the Board of Directors in the Event of His Death:

The Society was formed in 1881 at the time of the free distribution of 1,400,000 copies of the pamphlet, "Food for Thinking Christians"—now out of print. It consisted of five of the Lord's children, and its affairs were entirely in my charge. Later, 1884, at the instance of the friends of the cause, who advised that matters be put upon a legal footing so that the work might not be interrupted in case of my sudden death, the Society applied for a Charter under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania, and received one dated December 13, 1884. . . . The object in taking out a Charter is succinctly stated in The Watch Tower for January, 1891, page 16, as follows:

"This is a business association merely. It was chartered as a Corporation by the State of Pennsylvania, and authorized to hold or dispose of property in its own name as though an individual. It has no creed or confession. . . . In fact, the only objects in having the Corporation are:

"First, to provide a channel or fund through which those who wish can employ their money talent, whether small or great, for better advantage for the spread of the Truth than if each interested one acted and published independently of the others. Secondly, the Corporation was called for by reason of the uncertainty of the lives of those at present managing the fund. Some wrote that they were doing all that their present necessities permitted, but at their death desired to do more; and urged the necessity of a legal Corporation, as Brother and Sister Russell also might die, and they wanted their donations to go to the spread of the Truth.

Hearing up to December 1, 1893, thirty-seven hundred and five (3,705) voting shares, out of a total of sixty-three hundred and eighty-three (6,883) voting shares, Sister Russell and myself, of course, elect the officers, and thus control the Society; and this was fully understood by the Directors from the first. Their usefulness, it was understood, would come to the front in the event of our death."

NOTICE

Since the President and his associates have control of the lists of names, shareholders and subscribers, we are able to send this statement to only a limited number of the friends. However, we will be pleased to send it to any addresses of Truth friends you wish to send us, so long as the Lord may provide the funds. Address, P. O. BOX 179, Brooklyn, N. Y.
COPY OF OPEN LETTER TO BOSTON CONVENTIONERS

After Brother Rutherford’s “Siftings” had been circulated at the Boston Convention, a number of friends who felt that a great injustice had been done the majority of the Board of Directors of the Society, earnestly urged the printing of a brief statement of the facts, volunteering to pay the expense. Following is a copy of this statement:


DEAR FRIENDS,

Our hearts have been grieved that a paper, “Brother Rutherford’s Harvest Siftings,” should be circulated amongst you at the Boston Convention, a number of friends who felt that personalities; nor return evil for evil; railing for railing; is law—Divine Justice—and a clearning of our good name. We believe that the Lord’s dear judge. We willingly leave all to Him whom we earnestly Brother Russell. Three of us believing this should not be so. For your informa-

RE BROTHER JOHNSON

Brother Johnson is in no sense the cause of the controversy between the President on the one side and BrothersPRICE, Ritchie, Wright, Hoskins and Hirsh on the other side. The President’s treatment of Brother Johnson is only one of the circumstances in which we could not approve of Brother Rutherford’s course. Our contention is that Brother Johnson, in whom Brother Russell reposed great confidence and who has manifested much love and zeal for the Truth during the 14 years of his public service, during which he has travelled as a Pilgrim, paying all his own expenses except for one year, should be given a full and fair opportunity to present his case. At present he has been condemned without a trial, and to our personal knowledge shamefully misrepresented and treated.

SOME OF THE POINTS AT ISSUE

1. SHALL BROTHER RUSSELL’S CHARTER
2. SHALL BROTHER RUSSELL’S WILL AND TESTA-
3. MEN AND
4. SHALL BROTHER RUSSELL’S BOARD OF DIRECTORS BE RECOGNIZED AND SUPPORTED BY THE FRIENDS, OR SHALL ALL THESE BE SET ASIDE AND DISREGARDED.

We believe that this should not be so. For your information we present below in parallel columns the fundamental differences which have arisen between the President and ourselves:

BROTHER RUTHERFORD:

1. Believes one man (himself) can better manage the Society’s work than the Board of Directors; thus taking an exactly opposite view to Brother Russell on this subject.

2. Personally interprets resolutions passed by Shareholders on Jan. 6, 1917, at Pittsburgh, Pa., to give him practically absolute control of the Society’s finances and affairs in general. He has uniformly acted in harmony with this interpretation of the Board, during his term of office as President, a statement of the finances and other affairs of the Society, of which we are today still ignorant. It will be a matter of interest to you that Brother Rutherford himself wrote the By-Laws even before his election.

3. Through his interpretation of certain technicalities of law, but positively contradicted by eminent counsel, he declares four of the undersigned illegally elected Directors (though himself served for 14 years as a Director, elected exactly as they were), and assumes to appoint other brethren to take their places.

THE BOARD’S VIEW:

1. We believe Brother Russell’s plans for carrying on the Harvest Work after his death should be followed: “The corporation is to be managed by a Board of Directors consisting of seven members.” (Extract from Society’s Charter, written by Brother Rusch.) “It being understood that they (the Board of Directors), should come to the front in the event of my death.” (Extract from booklet published by Brother Russell.)

2. The Common Law or practically universal interpretation of said resolutions passed at Pittsburgh Jan. 6, 1917, is that the President as “Executive and Manager” is subject to the Board of Directors, whose directions he is required to follow. The entire responsibility of the Corporation both to the Shareholders for the use of funds donated to the Society, and to the Business Public for the obligations of the Society rests, not on the President alone, but upon the full Board of Directors. They cannot escape this responsibility.

J. (a) Upon the best legal advice we can obtain, and concurred in by Attorney Brother McGee, assistant to the Attorney General of New Jersey, it appears that Brother Rutherford’s interpretation of these technicalities is erroneous, and we are still the legal Directors of the Society.

(b) We recognize a still higher law—Divine Justice—and a moral obligation to fulfill the trust reposed in us by the Lord and Brother Russell. Three of us having been elected under the direction of Brother Russell, served harmoniously with him on the Board for years, and whose wish it was that we continue to serve as Directors during our life time, unless removed by a two-thirds vote of the Shareholders. Extract from Charter, “The Directors shall hold their respective offices for life.”

“It is required of stewards that a man be found faithful, every man according to his several ability,” and our greatest desire is to be faithful to the Lord and to the Shareholders of the Society, organized by Brother Russell and conducted so successfully by him for 34 years.

We, and hundreds of other friends, have endeavored to find some legal means of calling a special meeting of the Shareholders of the Society to pass upon these matters, but so far without success. If he cannot himself rule absolutely, he has apparently determined to put to the front the Peoples Pulpit Association, of which he claims to be President for life.

In regard to the relationship of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society to the Peoples Pulpit Association, we the T.W. T.B. & T. S. A., our dear Pastor, in The Watch Tower of Dec. 1, 1915, page 359, 2nd col, says:

“Thus the whole management is by the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, and these auxiliary organizations merely help in carrying on its work.”

... ... ... ... ... ...

“In other words, the Peoples Pulpit Assn. cannot transact business except through the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society. The Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society has the management, and the Peoples Pulpit Assn. does the work—absolutely.”

Brother Rutherford now repudiates all this and says he will act through the Peoples Pulpit Association, and has issued a command that Brothers Wright, Ritchie, Hoskins and Hirsh shall, like Brother Johnson, be required to leave Bethel.

In conclusion, dear friends, our only desire is to be found faithful to our trust. We believe this is the essence of the text: “It is required of stewards that a man be found faithful.”

The Lord bless you and keep you. Pray that all concerned may have wisdom and grace to walk humbly and circumspectly before our Maker, that we may thus be prepared for His presence and kingdom.

Your brethren and fellow-servants of our dear Redeemer and King,

A. N. PIETSON, J. D. WRIGHT, A. I. RITCHIE, I. F. HOSKINS, R. H. HIRSH.

So long as our funds hold out extra copies may be had by addressing us.

P. O. BOX 179,
Brooklyn, N. Y.
“FEAR NOT!”

“Lo, I am with you alway”